



AWS Whitepapers

GxP Systems on AWS



GxP Systems on AWS: AWS Whitepapers

Copyright © 2026 Amazon Web Services, Inc. and/or its affiliates. All rights reserved.

Amazon's trademarks and trade dress may not be used in connection with any product or service that is not Amazon's, in any manner that is likely to cause confusion among customers, or in any manner that disparages or discredits Amazon. All other trademarks not owned by Amazon are the property of their respective owners, who may or may not be affiliated with, connected to, or sponsored by Amazon.

Table of Contents

.....	vi
Abstract	i
Introduction	2
About AWS	2
AWS Healthcare and Life Sciences	3
AWS Services	3
AWS Cloud Security	5
Shared Security Responsibility Model	7
AWS Certifications and Attestations	9
SOC 1, 2, 3	10
FedRAMP	11
ISO 9001	11
ISO/IEC 27001	12
ISO/IEC 27017	12
ISO/IEC 27018	12
HITRUST	13
CSA Security, Trust & Assurance Registry (STAR)	13
Infrastructure Description and Controls	14
Cloud Models (Nature of the Cloud)	14
Security	15
Cloud Infrastructure Qualification Activities	16
AWS Quality Management System	19
Quality Infrastructure and Support Processes	19
Quality Management System Certification	19
Software Development Approach	20
Quality Procedures	20
Project Management Processes	21
Quality Organization Roles	21
Quality Project Planning and Reporting	21
Electronics Records and Electronic Signatures	22
Company Self-Assessments	22
Contract Reviews	23
Corrective and Preventative Actions	23
Customer Complaints	23

Third-Party Management	24
Training Records	24
Personnel Records	25
Infrastructure Management	25
Software Development	26
Software Development Processes	26
Code Pathway	26
Deployment and Testing	27
Configuration and Change Management	28
Software	28
Reviews	30
Product Release	30
Customer Training	31
AWS Products in GxP Systems	32
Involving AWS	33
Qualification Strategy for Life Science Organizations	33
Industry Guidance	35
Supplier Assessment and Management	36
Regulated Landing Zone	37
Building Blocks	38
Computer System Validation	39
Validation During Cloud Migration	39
Supplier Assessment and Cloud Management	39
Basic Supplier Assessment	40
Documentation Review	40
Review Service Level Agreements (SLA)	41
Audit	41
Contractual Agreement	41
Cloud Management Processes	42
Cloud Platform/Landing Zone Qualification	43
Maintaining the Landing Zone's Qualified State	45
Qualifying Building Blocks	50
Service Approval	50
Building Block Qualification	51
Design Stage	51
Construction Stage	53

Qualification and Commissioning Stage	54
Handover to Operations Stage	55
Computer Systems Validation (CSV)	55
Automating Installation Qualification (IQ)	56
Maintaining an Application's Qualified State	56
Conclusion and further reading	57
Further reading	57
Document history and contributors	58
Contributors	58
Appendix: 21 CFR 11 Controls – Shared Responsibility for use with AWS services	59
Notices	86

This whitepaper is for historical reference only. Some content might be outdated and some links might not be available.

GxP Systems on AWS

Publication date: **March 9, 2021** ([Document history and contributors](#))

This whitepaper provides information on how AWS approaches GxP-related compliance and security and provides customers guidance on using AWS services in the context of GxP. The content has been developed based on experience with and feedback from AWS pharmaceutical and medical device customers, as well as software partners, who are currently using AWS services in their validated GxP systems.

Introduction

According to a recent publication by [Deloitte on the outlook of Global Life Sciences](#) in 2020, prioritization of cloud technologies in the life sciences sector has steadily increased as customers seek out highly reliable, scalable and secure solutions to operate their regulated IT systems. Amazon Web Services (AWS) provides cloud services designed to help customers run their most sensitive workloads in the cloud, including the computerized systems that support Good Manufacturing Practice, Good Laboratory Practice, and Good Clinical Practice (GxP). GxP guidelines are established by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and exist to ensure safe development and manufacturing of medical devices, pharmaceuticals, biologics, and other food and medical product industries.

The first section of this whitepaper outlines the AWS services and organizational approach to security along with compliance that support GxP requirements as part of the Shared Responsibility Model, and as it relates to the AWS Quality System for Information Security Management. After establishing this information, the whitepaper provides information to assist you in using AWS services to implement GxP-compliant environments. Many customers already leverage industry guidance to influence their regulatory interpretation of GxP requirements. Therefore, the primary industry guidance used to form the basis of this whitepaper is the GAMP (Good Automated Manufacturing Practice) guidance from ISPE (International Society for Pharmaceutical Engineering), in effect as a type of Good Cloud Computing Practice.

While the following content provides information on use of AWS services in GxP environments, you should ultimately consult with your own counsel to ensure that your GxP policies and procedures satisfy regulatory compliance requirements.

Whitepapers containing more specific information about AWS products, privacy, and data protection considerations are available at <https://aws.amazon.com/compliance/>.

About AWS

In 2006, Amazon Web Services (AWS) began offering on-demand IT infrastructure services to businesses in the form of web services with pay-as-you-go pricing. Today, AWS provides a highly reliable, scalable, low-cost infrastructure platform in the cloud that powers hundreds of thousands of businesses in countries around the world. Using AWS, businesses no longer need to plan for and procure servers and other IT infrastructure weeks or months in advance. Instead, they can instantly spin up hundreds or thousands of servers in minutes and deliver results faster. Offering over 175

fully featured services from data centers globally, AWS gives you the ability to take advantage of a broad set of global cloud-based products including compute, storage, databases, networking, security, analytics, mobile, developer tools, management tools, IoT, and enterprise applications. AWS's rapid pace of innovation allows you to focus in on what's most important to you and your end users without the undifferentiated heavy lifting.

AWS Healthcare and Life Sciences

AWS started its dedicated Genomics and Life Sciences Practice in 2014 in response to the growing demand for an experienced and reliable life sciences cloud industry leader. Today, the AWS Life Sciences Practice team consists of members that have been in the industry on average for over 17 years and had previous titles such as Chief Medical Officer, Chief Digital Officer, Physician, Radiologist, and Researcher among many others. The AWS Genomics and Life Sciences practice serves a large ecosystem of life sciences customers, including pharmaceutical, biotechnology, medical device, genomics, start-ups, university and government institutions, as well as healthcare payers and providers. A full list of customer case studies can be found at <https://aws.amazon.com/health/customer-stories>.

In addition to the resources available within the Genomics and Life Science practice at AWS, you can also work with AWS Life Sciences Competency Partners to drive innovation and improve efficiency across the life sciences value chain including cost-effective storage and compute capabilities, advanced analytics, and patient personalization mechanisms. AWS Life Sciences Competency Partners have demonstrated technical expertise and customer success in building Life Science solutions on AWS. A full list of AWS Life Sciences Competency Partners can be found at <https://aws.amazon.com/health/lifesciences-partner-solutions>.

AWS Services

Amazon Web Services (AWS) delivers a scalable cloud computing platform with high availability and dependability, providing the tools that enable you to run a wide range of applications. Helping to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of our customers' systems and data is of the utmost importance to AWS, as is maintaining customer trust and confidence.

Similar to other general-purpose IT products such as operating systems and database engines, AWS offers commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) IT services according to IT quality and security standards such as ISO, NIST, SOC and many others. For purposes of this paper, we will use the definition of COTS in accordance with the definition established by FedRAMP, a United States government-wide

program for procurement and security assessment. FedRAMP references the US Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) for its definition of COTS, which outlines COTS items as:

- Products or services that are offered and sold competitively in substantial quantities in the commercial marketplace based on an established catalog.
- Offered without modification or customization.
- Offered under standard commercial terms and conditions.

Under GAMP guidelines (such as GAMP 5: A Risk-Based Approach to Compliant GxP Computerized Systems), organizations implementing GxP-compliant environments will need to categorize AWS services using respective GAMP software and hardware categories (e.g. Software Category 1 for Infrastructure Software, including operating systems, database managers and security software or Category 5 for custom or bespoke software). Most often, organizations utilizing AWS services for validated applications will categorize them under Software Category 1.

AWS offers products falling into several categories. Below is a subset of those AWS offerings spanning Compute, Storage, Database, Networking & Content Delivery, and Security and Compliance. A later section of this whitepaper, [AWS Products in GxP Systems](#), will provide information to assist you in using AWS services to implement your GxP-compliant environments.

Table : Subset of AWS offerings by group

Group	AWS Products
Compute	Amazon EC2, Amazon EC2 Auto Scaling, Amazon Elastic Container Registry, Amazon Elastic Container Service, Amazon Elastic Kubernetes Service, Amazon Lightsail, AWS Batch, AWS Elastic Beanstalk, AWS Fargate, AWS Lambda, AWS Outposts, AWS Serverless Application Repository, AWS Wavelength, VMware Cloud on AWS
Storage	Amazon Simple Storage Service (Amazon S3), Amazon Elastic Block Store (Amazon EBS), Amazon Elastic File System (Amazon EFS), Amazon FSx for Lustre, Amazon FSx

Group	AWS Products
	for Windows File Server, Amazon Glacier, AWS Backup, AWS Snow Family, AWS Storage Gateway, CloudEndure Disaster Recovery
Database	Amazon Aurora, Amazon DynamoDB, Amazon DocumentDB, Amazon ElastiCache, Amazon Keyspaces, Amazon Neptune, Amazon Quantum Ledger Database (Amazon QLDB), Amazon RDS, Amazon RDS on VMware, Amazon Redshift, Amazon Timestream, AWS Database Migration Service
Networking & Content Delivery	Amazon VPC, Amazon API Gateway, Amazon CloudFront, Amazon Route 53, AWS PrivateLink, AWS App Mesh, AWS Cloud Map, AWS Direct Connect, AWS Global Accelerator, AWS Transit Gateway, Elastic Load Balancing
Security, Identity, and Compliance	AWS Identity & Access Management (IAM), Amazon Cognito, Amazon Detective, Amazon GuardDuty, Amazon Inspector, Amazon Macie, AWS Artifact, AWS Certificate Manager, AWS CloudHSM, AWS Directory Service, AWS Firewall Manager, AWS Key Management Service, AWS Resource Access Manager, AWS Secrets Manager, AWS Security Hub CSPM, AWS Shield, AWS Single Sign-On, AWS WAF

Details and specifications for the full portfolio of AWS products are available online at <https://aws.amazon.com/>.

AWS Cloud Security

AWS infrastructure has been architected to be one of the most flexible and secure cloud computing environments available today. It is designed to provide an extremely scalable, highly reliable

platform that enables customers to deploy applications and data quickly and securely. This infrastructure is built and managed not only according to security best practices and standards, but also with the unique needs of the cloud in mind. AWS uses redundant and layered controls, continuous validation and testing, and a substantial amount of automation to ensure that the underlying infrastructure is monitored and protected 24x7.

We have many customer testimonials that highlight the security benefits of using the AWS cloud, in that the security capabilities provided by AWS far exceed the customer's own on-premises capabilities.

"We had heard urban legends about 'security issues in the cloud,' but the more we looked into AWS, the more it was obvious to us that AWS is a secure environment and we would be able to use it with peace of mind."

- Yoshihiro Moriya, Certified Information System Auditor at Hoya

"There was no way we could achieve the security certification levels that AWS has. We have great confidence in the logical separation of customers in the AWS Cloud, particularly through Amazon VPC, which allows us to customize our virtual networking environment to meet our specific requirements."

- Michael Lockhart, IT Infrastructure Manager at GPT

"When you're in telehealth and you touch protected health information, security is paramount. AWS is absolutely critical to do what we do today. Security and compliance are table stakes. If you don't have those, the rest doesn't matter."

- Cory Costley, Chief Product Officer, Avizia


Many more customer testimonials, including those from health and life science companies, can be found here: <https://aws.amazon.com/compliance/testimonials/>

IT Security is often not the core business of our customers. IT departments operate on limited budgets and do a good job of securing their data centers and software given limited resources. In the case of AWS, security is foundational to our core business and so significant resources are applied to ensuring the security of the cloud and helping our customers ensure security in the cloud, as described further below.

Shared Security Responsibility Model

Security and Compliance is a shared responsibility between AWS and the customer. This shared model can help relieve your operational burden as AWS operates, manages and controls the components from the host operating system and virtualization layer down to the physical security of the facilities in which the service operates. Customers assume responsibility and management of the guest operating system (including updates and security patches), other associated application software as well as the configuration of the AWS provided security group firewall. You should carefully consider the services you choose as your responsibilities vary depending on the services used, the integration of those services into your IT environment, and applicable laws and regulations.

The following figure provides an overview of the [shared responsibility model](#). This differentiation of responsibility is commonly referred to as Security “of” the Cloud versus Security “in” the Cloud, which will be explained in more detail below.



AWS Shared Responsibility Model

AWS is responsible for the security and compliance **of** the Cloud, the infrastructure that runs all of the services offered in the AWS Cloud. Cloud security at AWS is the highest priority. AWS customers benefit from a data center and network architecture that are built to meet the requirements of

the most security-sensitive organizations. This infrastructure consists of the hardware, software, networking, and facilities that run AWS Cloud services.

Customers are responsible for the security and compliance in the Cloud, which consists of customer-configured systems and services provisioned on AWS. Responsibility within the AWS Cloud is determined by the AWS Cloud services that you select and ultimately the amount of configuration work you must perform as part of your security responsibilities. For example, a service such as Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (Amazon EC2) is categorized as Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) and, as such, requires you to perform all of the necessary security configuration and management tasks. Customers that deploy an Amazon EC2 instance are responsible for management of the guest operating system (including updates and security patches), any application software or utilities installed by you on the instances, and the configuration of the AWS-provided firewall (called a security group) on each instance. For abstracted services, such as Amazon S3 and Amazon DynamoDB, AWS operates the infrastructure layer, the operating system, and platforms, and customers access the endpoints to store and retrieve data. You are responsible for managing your data and component configuration (including encryption options), classifying your assets, and using IAM tools to apply the appropriate permissions.

The AWS Shared Security Responsibility model also extends to IT controls. Just as the responsibility to operate the IT environment is shared between you and AWS, so is the management, operation and verification of IT controls shared. AWS can help relieve your burden of operating controls by managing those controls associated with the physical infrastructure deployed in the AWS environment that may previously have been managed by you. As every customer is deployed differently in AWS, you can take advantage of shifting management of certain IT controls to AWS which results in a (new) distributed control environment. You can then use the AWS control and compliance documentation available to you, as well as techniques discussed later in this whitepaper, to perform your control evaluation and verification procedures as required. Below are examples of controls that are managed by AWS, AWS Customers and/or both.

Inherited Controls – Controls which you fully inherit from AWS.

- Physical and Environmental controls

Shared Controls – Controls which apply to both the infrastructure layer and customer layers, but in completely separate contexts or perspectives. In a shared control, AWS provides the requirements for the infrastructure and you must provide your own control implementation within your use of AWS services. Examples include:

- **Patch Management** – AWS is responsible for patching and fixing flaws within the infrastructure, but you are responsible for patching your guest OS and applications.
- **Configuration Management** – AWS maintains the configuration of its infrastructure devices, but you are responsible for configuring your own guest operating systems, databases, and applications.
- **Awareness & Training** – AWS trains AWS employees, but you must train your own employees.

Customer Specific – Controls which are ultimately your responsibility based on the application you are deploying within AWS services. Examples include:

- **Data Management** – for instance, placement of data on Amazon S3 where you activate encryption.

While certain controls are customer specific, AWS strives to provide you with the tools and resources to make implementation easier.

For further information about AWS physical and operational security processes for the network and server infrastructure under the management of AWS see: [AWS Cloud Security site](#).

For customers who are designing the security infrastructure and configuration for applications running in Amazon Web Services (AWS), see the [Best Practices for Security, Identity, & Compliance](#).

AWS Certifications and Attestations

The AWS global infrastructure is designed and managed according to security best practices as well as a variety of security compliance standards. With AWS, you can be assured that you are building web architectures on top of some of the most secure computing infrastructure in the world. The IT infrastructure that AWS provides to you is designed and managed in alignment with security best practices and a variety of IT security standards including the following that life science customers may find most relevant:

- [SOC 1, 2, 3](#)
- [ISO 9001](#) / [ISO 27001](#) / [ISO 27017](#) / [ISO 27018](#)
- [HITRUST](#)
- [FedRAMP](#)
- [CSA Security, Trust & Assurance Registry \(STAR\)](#)

There are no specific certifications for GxP compliance for cloud services to date, however the controls and guidance described by this whitepaper, in conjunction with additional resources supplied by AWS provide information on AWS service GxP-compatibility, which will assist you in designing and building your own GxP-compliant solutions.

AWS provides on-demand access to security and compliance reports and select online agreements through [AWS Artifact](#), with reports accessible via AWS customer accounts under NDA. AWS Artifact is a go-to central resource for compliance related information and is a place that you can go to find additional information on the AWS compliance programs described further below.

SOC 1, 2, 3

AWS System and Organization Controls (SOC) Reports are independent third-party examination reports that demonstrate how AWS achieves key compliance controls and objectives. The purpose of these reports is to help you and your auditors understand the AWS controls established to support operations and compliance.

The SOC 1 reports are designed to focus on controls at a service organization that are likely to be relevant to an audit of a user entity's financial statements. The AWS SOC 1 report is designed to cover specific key controls likely to be required during a financial audit, as well as covering a broad range of IT general controls to accommodate a wide range of usage and audit scenarios. The AWS SOC1 control objectives include security organization, employee user access, logical security, secure data handling, physical security and environmental protection, change management, data integrity, availability and redundancy and incident handling.

The SOC 2 report is an attestation report that expands the evaluation of controls to the criteria set forth by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Trust Services Principles. These principles define leading practice controls relevant to security, availability, processing integrity, confidentiality, and privacy applicable to service organizations such as AWS. The AWS SOC 2 is an evaluation of the design and operating effectiveness of controls that meet the criteria for the security and availability principles set forth in the AICPA's Trust Services Principles criteria. This report provides additional transparency into AWS security and availability based on a pre-defined industry standard of leading practices and further demonstrates the commitment of AWS to protecting customer data. The SOC2 report information includes outlining AWS controls, a description of AWS Services relevant to security, availability and confidentiality as well as test results against controls. You will likely find the SOC 2 report to be the most detailed and relevant SOC report as it relates to GxP compliance.

AWS publishes a Service Organization Controls 3 (SOC 3) report. The SOC 3 report is a publicly-available summary of the AWS SOC 2 report. The report includes the external auditor's assessment of the operation of controls (based on the AICPA's Security Trust Principles included in the SOC 2 report), the assertion from AWS management regarding the effectiveness of controls, and an overview of AWS Infrastructure and Services.

FedRAMP

The Federal Risk and Authorization Management Program (FedRAMP) is a US government-wide program that delivers a standard approach to the security assessment, authorization, and continuous monitoring for cloud products and services. FedRAMP uses the NIST Special Publication 800 series and requires cloud service providers to receive an independent security assessment conducted by a third-party assessment organization (3PAO) to ensure that authorizations are compliant with the Federal Information Security Management Act (FISMA).

For AWS Services in Scope for FedRAMP assessment and authorization, see <https://aws.amazon.com/compliance/services-in-scope/>

ISO 9001

ISO 9001:2015 outlines a process-oriented approach to documenting and reviewing the structure, responsibilities, and procedures required to achieve effective quality management within an organization. Specific sections of the standard contain information on topics such as:

- Requirements for a quality management system (QMS), including documentation of a quality manual, document control, and determining process interactions
- Responsibilities of management
- Management of resources, including human resources and an organization's work environment
- Service development, including the steps from design to delivery
- Customer satisfaction
- Measurement, analysis, and improvement of the QMS through activities like internal audits and corrective and preventive actions

The AWS ISO 9001:2015 certification directly supports customers who develop, migrate and operate their quality-controlled IT systems in the AWS cloud. You can leverage AWS compliance reports as evidence for your own ISO 9001:2015 programs and industry-specific quality programs, such as GxP in life sciences and ISO 131485 in medical devices.

ISO/IEC 27001

ISO/IEC 27001:2013 is a widely-adopted global security standard that sets out requirements and best practices for a systematic approach to managing company and customer information that's based on periodic risk assessments appropriate to ever-changing threat scenarios. In order to achieve the certification, a company must show it has a systematic and ongoing approach to managing information security risks that affect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of company and customer information.

This widely-recognized international security standard specifies that AWS do the following:

- We systematically evaluate AWS information security risks, taking into account the impact of threats and vulnerabilities.
- We design and implement a comprehensive suite of information security controls and other forms of risk management to address customer and architecture security risks.
- We have an overarching management process to ensure that the information security controls meet our needs on an ongoing basis.

AWS has achieved ISO 27001 certification of the Information Security Management System (ISMS) covering AWS infrastructure, data centers, and services.

ISO/IEC 27017

ISO/IEC 27017:2015 provides guidance on the information security aspects of cloud computing, recommending the implementation of cloud-specific information security controls that supplement the guidance of the ISO/IEC 27002 and ISO/IEC 27001 standards. This code of practice provides additional information security controls implementation guidance specific to cloud service providers.

The AWS attestation to the ISO/IEC 27017:2015 standard not only demonstrates an ongoing commitment to align with globally-recognized best practices, but also verifies that AWS has a system of highly precise controls in place that are specific to cloud services.

ISO/IEC 27018

ISO 27018 is the first International code of practice that focuses on protection of personal data in the cloud. It is based on ISO information security standard 27002 and provides implementation

guidance on ISO 27002 controls applicable to public cloud Personally Identifiable Information (PII). It also provides a set of additional controls and associated guidance intended to address public cloud PII protection requirements not addressed by the existing ISO 27002 control set.

AWS has achieved ISO 27018 certification, an internationally recognized code of practice, which demonstrates the commitment of AWS to the privacy and protection of your content.

HITRUST

The Health Information Trust Alliance Common Security Framework (HITRUST CSF) leverages nationally and internationally accepted standards and regulations such as GDPR, ISO, NIST, PCI, and HIPAA to create a comprehensive set of baseline security and privacy controls.

HITRUST has developed the HITRUST CSF Assurance Program, which incorporates the common requirements, methodology, and tools that enable an organization and its business partners to take a consistent and incremental approach to managing compliance. Further, it allows business partners and vendors to assess and report against multiple sets of requirements.

Certain AWS services have been assessed under the HITRUST CSF Assurance Program by an approved HITRUST CSF Assessor as meeting the HITRUST CSF Certification Criteria. The certification is valid for two years, describes the AWS services that have been validated, and can be accessed at <https://aws.amazon.com/compliance/hitrust/>. You may look to leverage the AWS HITRUST CSF certification of AWS services to support your own HITRUST CSF certification, in complement to your GxP compliance programs.

CSA Security, Trust & Assurance Registry (STAR)

In 2011, the [Cloud Security Alliance \(CSA\) launched STAR](#), an initiative to encourage transparency of security practices within cloud providers. The CSA Security, Trust & Assurance Registry (STAR) is a free, publicly accessible registry that documents the security controls provided by various cloud computing offerings, thereby helping users assess the security of cloud providers they currently use or are considering.

AWS participates in the voluntary CSA Security, Trust & Assurance Registry (STAR) Self-Assessment to document AWS compliance with CSA-published best practices. AWS publishes the completed [CSA Consensus Assessments Initiative Questionnaire \(CAIQ\)](#) on the AWS website.

Infrastructure Description and Controls

Cloud Models (Nature of the Cloud)

Cloud computing is the on-demand delivery of compute power, database storage, applications, and other IT resources through a cloud services platform via the Internet with pay-as-you-go pricing. As cloud computing has grown in popularity, several different models and deployment strategies have emerged to help meet specific needs of different users. Each type of cloud service and deployment method provides you with different levels of control, flexibility, and management.

Cloud Computing Models

Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS)

Infrastructure as a Service (IaaS) contains the basic building blocks for cloud IT and typically provides access to networking features, computers (virtual or on dedicated hardware), and data storage space. IaaS provides you with the highest level of flexibility and management control over your IT resources and is most similar to existing IT resources that many IT departments and developers are familiar with today (e.g. Amazon Elastic Compute Cloud (Amazon EC2)).

Platform as a Service (PaaS)

Platform as a Service (PaaS) removes the need for organizations to manage the underlying infrastructure (usually hardware and operating systems) and allows you to focus on the deployment and management of your applications (e.g. AWS Elastic Beanstalk). This helps you be more efficient as you don't need to worry about resource procurement, capacity planning, software maintenance, patching, or any of the other undifferentiated heavy lifting involved in running your application.

Software as a Service (SaaS)

Software as a Service (SaaS) provides you with a completed product that is run and managed by the service provider. In most cases, people referring to Software as a Service are referring to end-user applications (e.g. Amazon Connect). With a SaaS offering you do not have to think about how the service is maintained or how the underlying infrastructure is managed; you only need to think about how you will use that particular piece of software. A common example of a SaaS application is web-based email which can be used to send and receive email without having to manage feature additions to the email product or maintain the servers and operating systems on which the email program is running.

Cloud Computing Deployment Models

Cloud

A cloud-based application is fully deployed in the cloud and all parts of the application run in the cloud. Applications in the cloud have either been created in the cloud or have been migrated from an existing infrastructure to take advantage of the benefits of cloud computing (<https://aws.amazon.com/what-is-cloud-computing/>). Cloud-based applications can be built on low-level infrastructure pieces or can use higher level services that provide abstraction from the management, architecting, and scaling requirements of core infrastructure.

Hybrid

A hybrid deployment is a way to connect infrastructure and applications between cloud-based resources and existing resources that are not located in the cloud. The most common method of hybrid deployment is between the cloud and existing on-premises infrastructure to extend, and grow, an organization's infrastructure into the cloud while connecting cloud resources to the internal system. For more information on how AWS can help you with hybrid deployment, visit the AWS hybrid page (<https://aws.amazon.com/hybrid/>).

On-premises

The deployment of resources on-premises, using virtualization and resource management tools, is sometimes sought for its ability to provide dedicated resources (<https://aws.amazon.com/hybrid/>). In most cases this deployment model is the same as legacy IT infrastructure while using application management and virtualization technologies to try and increase resource utilization.

Security

Physical Security

Amazon has many years of experience in designing, constructing, and operating large-scale data centers. This experience has been applied to the AWS platform and infrastructure. AWS data centers are housed in facilities that are not branded as AWS facilities. Physical access is strictly controlled both at the perimeter and at building ingress points by professional security staff utilizing video surveillance, intrusion detection systems, and other electronic means. Authorized staff must pass two-factor authentication a minimum of two times to access data center floors. All visitors are required to present identification and are signed in and continually escorted by authorized staff. AWS only provides data center access and information to employees and

contractors who have a legitimate business need for such privileges. When an employee no longer has a business need for these privileges, his or her access is immediately revoked, even if they continue to be an employee of Amazon or Amazon Web Services. All physical access to data centers by AWS employees is logged and audited routinely.

Additional information on infrastructure security may be found on the webpage on [AWS Data Center controls](#).

Single or Multi-Tenant Environments

As cloud technology has rapidly evolved over the past decade, one fundamental technique used to maximize physical resources as well as lower customer costs has been to offer multi-tenant services to cloud customers. To facilitate this architecture, AWS has developed and implemented powerful and flexible logical security controls to create strong isolation boundaries between customers. Security is job zero at AWS and you will find a rich history of AWS steadily enhancing its features and controls to help customers achieve their security posture requirements such as GxP. Coming from operating an on-premises environment, you will often find that CSPs like AWS enable you to effectively optimize your security configurations in the cloud compared to your on-premises solutions.

The AWS logical security capabilities as well as security controls in place address the concerns driving physical separation to protect your data. The provided isolation combined with the automation and flexibility added offers a security posture that matches or bests the security controls seen in traditional, physically separated environments.

Additional detailed information on logical separation on AWS may be found in the [Logical Separation on AWS](#) whitepaper.

Cloud Infrastructure Qualification Activities

Geography

AWS serves over a million active customers in more than 200 countries. As customers grow their businesses, AWS will continue to provide infrastructure that meets their global requirements.

The AWS Cloud infrastructure is built around AWS Regions and Availability Zones. An AWS Region is a physical location in the world which has multiple Availability Zones. Availability Zones consist of one or more discrete data centers, each with redundant power, networking, and connectivity, housed in separate facilities. These Availability Zones offer you the ability to operate production

applications and databases that are more highly available, fault tolerant, and scalable than would be possible from a single data center. The AWS Cloud operates in over 70 Availability Zones within over 20 geographic Regions around the world, with announced plans for more Availability Zones and Regions. For more information on the AWS Cloud Availability Zones and AWS Regions, see [AWS Global Infrastructure](#).

Each Amazon Region is designed to be completely isolated from the other Amazon Regions. This achieves the greatest possible fault tolerance and stability. Each Availability Zone is isolated, but the Availability Zones in a Region are connected through low-latency links. AWS provides customers with the flexibility to place instances and store data within multiple geographic regions as well as across multiple Availability Zones within each AWS Region. Each Availability Zone is designed as an independent failure zone. This means that Availability Zones are physically separated within a typical metropolitan region and are located in lower risk flood plains (specific flood zone categorization varies by AWS Region). In addition to discrete uninterruptable power supply (UPS) and onsite backup generation facilities, they are each fed via different grids from independent utilities to further reduce single points of failure. Availability Zones are all redundantly connected to multiple tier-1 transit providers.

Data Locations

Where geographic limitations apply, unlike other cloud providers, who often define a region as a single data center, the multiple Availability Zone (AZ) design of every AWS Region offers you advantages. If you are focused on high availability, you can design your applications to run in multiple AZ's to achieve even greater fault-tolerance. AWS infrastructure Regions meet the highest levels of security, compliance, and data protection. If you have data residency requirements, you can [choose the AWS Region](#) that is in close proximity to your desired location. You retain complete control and ownership over the region in which your data is physically located, making it easy to meet regional compliance and data residency requirements.

In addition, for moving on-premises data to AWS for migrations or ongoing workflows, the following [AWS website on Cloud Data Migration](#) describes the various tools and services that you may use to ensure data onshoring compliance, including:

- Hybrid cloud storage (AWS Storage Gateway, AWS Direct Connect)
- Online data transfer (AWS DataSync, AWS Transfer Family, Amazon S3 Transfer Acceleration, AWS Snowcone, Amazon Data Firehose, APN Partner Products)
- Offline data transfer (AWS Snowcone, AWS Snowball Edge, AWS Snowmobile)

Capacity

When it comes to capacity planning, AWS examines capacity at both a service and rack usage level. The AWS capacity planning process also automatically triggers the procurement process for approval so that AWS doesn't have additional lag time to account for, and AWS relies on capacity planning models, which are informed in part by customer demand, to trigger new data center builds. AWS enables you to reserve instances so that space is guaranteed in the region(s) of your choice. AWS uses the number of reserved instances to inform planning for FOOB (future out of bound).

Uptime

AWS maintains SLAs (Service Level Agreements) for various services across the platform, which, at the time of this writing, includes a guaranteed monthly uptime percentage of at least 99.99% for Amazon EC2 and Amazon EBS within a Region. A full list of AWS SLAs can be found at <https://aws.amazon.com/legal/service-level-agreements/>. In addition, Amazon Web Services publishes the most up-to-the-minute information on service availability in the AWS Service Health Dashboard (<https://status.aws.amazon.com/>). It is important to note that as part of the shared security responsibility model, it is your responsibility to architect your application for resilience based on your organization's requirements.

AWS Quality Management System

Life Science customers with obligations under GxP requirements need to ensure that quality is part of manufacturing and controls during the design, development and deployment of their GxP-regulated product. This quality assurance includes an appropriate assessment of cloud service suppliers, like AWS, to meet the obligations of your quality system.

For a deeper description of the AWS Quality Management System, you may use AWS [Artifact](#) to access additional documents under NDA. Below, AWS provides information on some of the concepts and components of the AWS Quality System of most interest to GxP customers like you.

Topics

- [Quality Infrastructure and Support Processes](#)
- [Software Development](#)

Quality Infrastructure and Support Processes

Quality Management System Certification

AWS has undergone a systematic, independent examination of our quality system to determine whether the activities and activity outputs comply with ISO 9001:2015 requirements. A certifying agent found our quality management system (QMS) to comply with the requirements of ISO 9001:2015 for the activities described in the scope of registration.

The AWS quality management system has been certified to ISO 9001 since 2014. The reports cover six month periods each year (April-September / October-March). New reports are released in mid-May and mid-November. To see the AWS ISO 9001 registration certification, certification body information as well as date of issuance and renewal, please see the information on the ISO 9001 AWS compliance program website: <https://aws.amazon.com/compliance/iso-9001-faqs/>.

The certification covers the QMS over a specified scope of AWS services and Regions of operations. If you are pursuing ISO 9001:2015 certification while operating all or part of your IT systems in the AWS cloud, you are not automatically certified by association, however, using an ISO 9001:2015 certified provider like AWS can make your certification process easier.

AWS provides additional detailed information on the quality management system accessible within AWS Artifact via customer accounts in the AWS console (<https://aws.amazon.com/artifact/>).

Software Development Approach

AWS's strategy for design and development of AWS services is to clearly define services in terms of customer use cases, service performance, marketing and distribution requirements, production and testing, and legal and regulatory requirements. The design of all new services or any significant changes to current services are controlled through a project management system with multi-disciplinary participation. Requirements and service specifications are established during service development, taking into account legal and regulatory requirements, customer contractual commitments, and requirements to meet the confidentiality, integrity and availability of the service in alignment with the quality objectives established within the quality management system. Service reviews are completed as part of the development process, and these reviews include evaluation of security, legal and regulatory impacts and customer contractual commitments.

Prior to launch, each of the following requirements must be complete:

- Security Risk Assessment
- Threat modeling
- Security design reviews
- Secure code reviews
- Security testing
- Vulnerability/penetration testing

AWS implements open source software or custom code within its services. All open source software to include binary or machine-executable code from third-parties is reviewed and approved by the Open Source Group prior to implementation, and has source code that is publicly accessible. AWS service teams are prohibited from implementing code from third parties unless it has been approved through the open source review. All code developed by AWS is available for review by the applicable service team, as well as AWS Security. By its nature, open source code is available for review by the Open Source Group prior to granting authorization for use within Amazon.

Quality Procedures

In addition to the software, hardware, human resource and real estate assets that are encompassed in the scope of the AWS quality management system supporting the development and operations of AWS services, it also includes documented information including, but not limited to source code, system documentation and operational policies and procedures.

AWS implements formal, documented policies and procedures that provide guidance for operations and information security within the organization and the supporting AWS environments. Policies address purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities and management commitment. All policies are maintained in a centralized location that is accessible by employees.

Project Management Processes

The design of new services or any significant changes to current services follow secure software development practices and are controlled through a project management system with multi-disciplinary participation.

Quality Organization Roles

AWS Security Assurance is responsible for familiarizing employees with the AWS security policies. AWS has established information security functions that are aligned with defined structure, reporting lines, and responsibilities. Leadership involvement provides clear direction and visible support for security initiatives.

AWS has established a formal audit program that includes continual, independent internal and external assessments to validate the implementation and operating effectiveness of the AWS control environment.

AWS maintains a documented audit schedule of internal and external assessments. The needs and expectations of internal and external parties are considered throughout the development, implementation, and auditing of the AWS control environment. Parties include, but are not limited to:

- AWS customers, including current customers and potential customers.
- External parties to AWS including regulatory bodies such as the external auditors and certifying agents.
- Internal parties such as AWS services and infrastructure teams, security, and overarching administrative and corporate teams.

Quality Project Planning and Reporting

The AWS planning process defines service requirements, requirements for projects and contracts, and ensures customer needs and expectations are met or exceeded. Planning is achieved through a combination of business and service planning, project teams, quality improvement plans, review

of service-related metrics and documentation, self-assessments and supplier audits, and employee training. The AWS quality system is documented to ensure that planning is consistent with all other requirements.

AWS continuously monitors service usage to project infrastructure needs to support availability commitments and requirements. AWS maintains a capacity planning model to assess infrastructure usage and demands at least monthly, and usually more frequently. In addition, the AWS capacity planning model supports the planning of future demands to acquire and implement additional resources based upon current resources and forecasted requirements.

Electronics Records and Electronic Signatures

In the United States (US), GxP regulations are enforced by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and are contained in Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations (21 CFR). Within 21 CFR, Part 11 contains the requirements for computer systems that create, modify, maintain, archive, retrieve, or distribute electronic records and electronic signatures in support of GxP-regulated activities (and in the EU, EudraLex - Volume 4 - Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) guidelines – Annex 11 Computerised Systems). Part 11 was created to permit the adoption of new information technologies by FDA-regulated life sciences organizations, while simultaneously providing a framework to ensure that the electronic GxP data is trustworthy and reliable.

There is no GxP certification for a commercial cloud provider such as AWS. AWS offers commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) IT services according to IT quality and security standards such as [ISO 27001](#), [ISO 27017](#), [ISO 27018](#), [ISO 9001](#), [NIST 800-53](#) and many others. GxP-regulated life sciences customers, like you, are responsible for purchasing and using AWS services to develop and operate your GxP systems, and to verify your own GxP compliance, and compliance with 21 CFR 11.

This document, used in conjunction with other AWS resources noted throughout, may be used to support your electronic records and electronic signatures requirements. A further description of the shared responsibility model as it relates to your use of AWS services in alignment with 21 CFR 11 can be found in the Appendix.

Company Self-Assessments

AWS Security Assurance monitors the implementation and maintenance of the quality management system by performing verification activities through the AWS audit program to ensure compliance, suitability, and effectiveness of the quality management system. The AWS audit program includes self-assessments, third party accreditation audits, and supplier audits. The objective of these audits are to evaluate the operating effectiveness of the AWS quality

management system. Self-assessments are performed periodically. Audits by third parties for accreditation are conducted to review the continued performance of AWS against standards-based criteria and to identify general improvement opportunities. Supplier audits are performed to assess the supplier's potential for providing services or material that conform to AWS supply requirements. AWS maintains a documented schedule of all assessments to ensure implementation and operating effectiveness of the AWS control environment to meet various objectives.

Contract Reviews

AWS offers Services for sale under a standardized customer agreement that has been reviewed to ensure the Services are accurately represented, properly promoted, and fairly priced. Please contact your account team if you have questions about AWS service terms.

Corrective and Preventative Actions

AWS takes action to eliminate the cause of nonconformities within the scope of the quality management system, in order to prevent recurrence. The following procedure is followed when taking corrective and preventive actions:

1. Identify the specific nonconformities;
2. Determine the causes of nonconformities;
3. Evaluate the need for actions to ensure that nonconformities do not recur;
4. Determine and implement the corrective action(s) needed;
5. Record results of action(s) taken;
6. Review of the corrective action(s) taken.
7. Determine and implement preventive action needed;
8. Record results of action taken; and
9. Review of preventive action.

The records of corrective actions may be reviewed during regularly scheduled AWS management meetings.

Customer Complaints

AWS relies on procedures and specific metrics to support you. Customer reports and complaints are investigated and, where required, actions are taken to resolve them. You can contact AWS at <https://aws.amazon.com/contact-us/>, or speak directly with your account team for support.

Third-Party Management

AWS maintains a supplier management team to foster third party relationships and monitor third party performance. SLAs and SLOs are implemented to monitor performance.

AWS creates and maintains written agreements with third parties (for example, contractors or vendors) in accordance with the work or service to be provided (for example, network services, service delivery, or information exchange) and implements appropriate relationship management mechanisms in line with their relationship to the business. AWS monitors the performance of third parties through periodic reviews using a risk based approach, which evaluate performance against contractual obligations.

Training Records

Personnel at all levels of AWS are experienced and receive training in the skill areas of the jobs and other assigned training. Training needs are identified to ensure that training is continuously provided and is appropriate for each operation (process) affecting quality. Personnel required to work under special conditions or requiring specialized skills are trained to ensure their competency. Records of training and certification are maintained to verify that individuals have appropriate training.

AWS has developed, documented and disseminated role based security awareness training for employees responsible for designing, developing, implementing, operating, maintaining, and monitoring the system affecting security and availability and provides resources necessary for employees to fulfill their responsibilities. Training includes, but is not limited to the following information (when relevant to the employee's role):

- Workforce conduct standards
- Candidate background screening procedures
- Clear desk policy and procedures
- Social engineering, phishing, and malware
- Data handling and protection
- Compliance commitments
- Use of AWS security tools
- Security precautions while traveling
- How to report security and availability failures, incidents, concerns, and other complaints to appropriate personnel

- How to recognize suspicious communications and anomalous behavior in organizational information systems
- Practical exercises that reinforce training objectives
- HIPAA responsibilities

Personnel Records

AWS performs periodic formal evaluations of resourcing and staffing, including an assessment of employee qualification alignment with entity objectives. Personnel records are managed through an internal Amazon System.

Infrastructure Management

The Infrastructure team maintains and operates a configuration management framework to address hardware scalability, availability, auditing, and security management. By centrally managing hosts through the use of automated processes that manage change, Amazon is able to achieve its goals of high availability, repeatability, scalability, security, and disaster recovery. Systems and network engineers monitor the status of these automated tools on a continuous basis, reviewing reports to respond to hosts that fail to obtain or update their configuration and software.

Internally developed configuration management software is installed when new hardware is provisioned. These tools are run on all UNIX hosts to validate that they are configured and that software is installed in compliance with standards determined by the role assigned to the host. This configuration management software also helps to regularly update packages that are already installed on the host. Only approved personnel enabled through the permissions service may log in to the central configuration management servers. AWS notifies you of certain changes to the AWS service offerings where appropriate. AWS continuously evolves and improves their existing services, frequently adding new Services or features to existing Services. Further, as AWS services are controlled using APIs, if AWS changes or discontinues any API used to make calls to the Services, AWS continues to offer the existing API for 12 months (as of this publication) to give you time to adjust accordingly. Additionally, AWS provides you with a Health Dashboard with service health and status information specific to your account, as well as a public Service Health Dashboard to provide all customers with the real-time operational status of AWS services at the regional level at <http://status.aws.amazon.com>.

Software Development

Software Development Processes

The Project and Operation stages of the life cycle approach in GAMP, for instance, are reflected in the AWS information and activities surrounding organizational mechanisms to guide the development and configuration of the information system, including software development lifecycles and software change management. Elements of the organizational mechanisms include policies and standards, the code pathway, deployment, a change management tool, ongoing monitoring, security reviews, emergency changes, management of outsourced and unauthorized development and communication of changes to customers.

The software development lifecycle activities at AWS include the code development and change management processes at AWS which are centralized across AWS teams developing externally- and internally-facing code with processes applying to both internal and external service teams. Code deployed at AWS is developed and managed in a consistent process, regardless of its ultimate destination. There are several systems utilized in this process, including:

- A code management system used to assemble a code package as part of development.
- Internal source code repository.
- The hosting system in which AWS code pipelines are staged.
- The tool utilized for automating the testing, approval, deployment, and ongoing monitoring of code.
- A change management tool which breaks change workflows down into discrete, easy to manage steps and tracks change details.
- A monitoring service to detect unapproved changes to code or configurations in production systems. Any variances are escalated to the service owner/team.

Code Pathway

The AWS Code Pathway steps to development and deployment are outlined below. This process is executed regardless of whether the code is net new or if it represents a change to an existing codebase.

1. Developer writes the code in an approved integrated development environment running on an AWS-managed developer desktop environment. The developer typically does an initial build and integration test prior to the next step.

2. The developer checks in the code for review to an internal source code repository.
3. The code goes through a Code Review Verification in which at least one additional person reviews the code and approves it. The list of approvals are stored in an immutable log that is retained within the code review tool.
4. The code is then built from source code to the appropriate type of deployable code package (which varies from language to language) in an internal build system.
5. After successful build, including successful passing of all integration tests, the code gets pushed to a test environment.
6. The code goes through automated integration and verification tests in the pre-production environments and upon successful testing the code is pushed to production.

AWS may implement open source code within its Services, but any such use of open source code is still subject to the approval, packaging, review, deployment, and monitoring processes described above. Open source software, including binary or machine-executable code and open source licenses, is additionally reviewed and approved prior to implementation. AWS maintains a list of approved open source, as well as open source that is prohibited.

Deployment and Testing

A pipeline represents the path approved code packages take from initial check-in through a series of automated (and potentially manual) steps to execution in production. The pipeline is where automation, testing, and approvals happen.

At AWS, the deployment tool is used to create, view, and enforce code pipelines. This tool is utilized to promote the latest approved revision of built code to the production environment.

A major factor in ensuring safe code deployment is deploying in controlled stages and requiring continuous approvals prior to pushing code to production. As part of the deployment process, pipelines are configured to release to test environments (e.g. "beta," "gamma," and others, as defined by the team) prior to pushing the code to the production environment. Automated quality testing (e.g. integration testing, structural testing, behavioral testing) is performed in these environments to ensure code is performing as anticipated. If code is found to deviate from standards, the release is halted and the team is notified of the need to review.

These development and test environments emulate the production environment and are used to properly assess and prepare for the impact of a change to the production environment. In

order to reduce the risks of unauthorized access or change to the production environment, the development, test and production environments are all logically separated.

The tool additionally enforces phased deployment, if the code is to be deployed across multiple regions. Should a package include deployment for more than one AWS region, the pipeline will enforce deployment on a single-region basis. If the package were to fail integration tests at any region, the pipeline is halted and the team is notified for need to review.

Configuration and Change Management

Configuration management is performed during information system design, development, implementation, and operation through the use of the AWS Change Management process.

Routine, emergency, and configuration changes to existing AWS infrastructure are authorized, logged, tested, approved, and documented in accordance with industry norms for similar systems. Updates to the AWS infrastructure are done to minimize any impact on you and your use of the services.

Software

AWS applies a systematic approach to managing change so that changes to customer-impacting services are thoroughly reviewed, tested, approved, and well-communicated. The AWS change management process is designed to avoid unintended service disruptions and to maintain the integrity of service to you. Changes deployed into production environments are:

- **Prepared:** this includes scheduling, determining resources, creating notification lists, scoping dependencies, minimizing concurrent changes as well as a special process for emergent or long running changes.
- **Submitted:** this includes utilizing a Change Management Tool to document and request the change, determine potential impact, conduct a code review, create a detailed timeline and activity plan and develop a detailed rollback procedure.
- **Reviewed and Approved:** Peer reviews of the technical aspects of a change are required. Changes must be authorized in order to provide appropriate oversight and understanding of business and security impact. The configuration management process includes key organizational personnel that are responsible for reviewing and approving proposed changes to the information system.
- **Tested:** Changes being applied are tested to help ensure they will behave as expected and not adversely impact performance.

- **Performed:** This includes pre and post change notification, managing timeline, monitoring service health and metrics, and closing out the change

AWS service teams maintain a current authoritative baseline configuration for systems and devices. Change Management tickets are submitted before changes are deployed (unless it is an emergency change) and include impact analysis, security considerations, description, timeframe and approvals. Changes are pushed into production in a phased deployment starting with lowest impact areas. Deployments are tested on a single system and closely monitored so impacts can be evaluated. Service owners have a number of configurable metrics that measure the health of the service's upstream dependencies. These metrics are closely monitored with thresholds and alarming in place. Rollback procedures are documented in the Change Management (CM) ticket. AWS service teams retain older versions of AWS baseline packages and configurations necessary to support rollback and previous versions are stored in the repository systems. Integration testing and the validation process is performed before rollbacks are implemented. When possible, changes are scheduled during regular change windows.

In addition to the preventative controls that are part of the pipeline (e.g. code review verifications, test environments), AWS also uses detective controls configured to alert and notify personnel when a change is detected that may have been made without standard procedure. AWS checks deployments to ensure that they have the appropriate reviews and approvals to be applied before the code is committed to production. Exceptions for reviews and approvals for production lead to automatic ticketing and notification of the service team.

After code is deployed to the Production environment, AWS performs ongoing monitoring of performance through a variety of monitoring processes. AWS host configuration settings are also monitored as part of vulnerability monitoring to validate compliance with AWS security standards. Audit trails of the changes are maintained.

Emergency changes to production systems that require deviations from standard change management procedures are associated with an incident and are logged and approved as appropriate. Periodically, AWS performs self-audits of changes to key services to monitor quality, maintain high standards, and facilitate continuous improvement of the change management process. Any exceptions are analyzed to determine the root cause, and appropriate actions are taken to bring the change into compliance or roll back the change if necessary. Actions are then taken to address and remediate the process or people issue.

Reviews

AWS performs internal security reviews against Amazon security standards of externally launched products, services, and significant feature additions prior to launch to ensure security risks are identified and mitigated before deployment to a customer environment. AWS security reviews include evaluating the service's design, threat model, and impact to AWS' risk profile. A typical security review starts with a service team initiating a review request to the dedicated team and submitting detailed information about the artifacts being reviewed. Based on this information, AWS reviews the design and identifies security considerations; these considerations include, but are not limited to: appropriate use of encryption, analysis of data handling, regulatory considerations, and adherence to secure coding practices. Hardware, firmware and virtualization software also undergo security reviews, including a security review of the hardware design, actual implementation and final hardware samples.

Code package changes are subject to the following security activities:

- Full security assessment
- Threat modeling
- Security design reviews
- Secure code reviews (manual and automated methods)
- Security testing
- Vulnerability/penetration testing

Successful completion of the above mentioned activities are pre-requisites for Service launch. Development teams are responsible for the security of the features they develop that meet the security engineering principles. Infrastructure teams incorporate security principles into the configuration of servers and network devices with least privilege enforced throughout. Findings identified by AWS are categorized in terms of risk, and are tracked in an automated workflow tool.

Product Release

For all AWS services, information can be found on the associated service website, which describes the key attributes of the Service and product details, as well as pricing information, developer resources (including release notes and developer tools), FAQs, blogs, presentations and additional documentation such as developer guides, API references, and use cases, where relevant (<https://aws.amazon.com/products/>).

Customer Training

AWS has implemented various methods of external communication to support its customer base and the community. Mechanisms are in place to allow the customer support team to be notified of operational issues that impact your experience. A Service Health Dashboard is available and maintained by the customer support team to alert you to any issues that may be of broad impact. The AWS Cloud Security Center (<https://aws.amazon.com/security/>) and Healthcare and Life Sciences Center (<https://aws.amazon.com/health/>) is available to provide you with security and compliance details and Life Sciences related enablement information about AWS. You can also subscribe to AWS Support offerings that include direct communication with the customer support team and proactive alerts to any customer impacting issues.

AWS also has a series of training and certification programs (<https://www.aws.training/>) on a number of cloud-related topics in addition to a series of service and support offerings available through your AWS account team.

AWS Products in GxP Systems

With limited technical guidance from regulatory and industry bodies, this section aims to describe some of the best practices we've seen customers adopting when using cloud services to meet their regulatory compliance needs.

The Final FDA Guidance Document, "[Data Integrity and Compliance With Drug CGMP](#)" explicitly brings cloud infrastructure into scope through the revised definition of "computer or related systems":

"The American National Standards Institute (ANSI) defines systems as people, machines, and methods organized to accomplish a set of specific functions. Computer or related systems can refer to computer hardware, software, peripheral devices, networks, **cloud infrastructure**, personnel and associated documents (e.g., user manuals and standard operating procedures)."

Further, industry organizations like ISPE are increasingly dedicating publications on cloud usage in the life sciences.

As described throughout this whitepaper, there is no unique certification for GxP regulations so each customer defines their own risk profile. Therefore, it is important to note that although this whitepaper is based on AWS experience with life science customers, you must take final accountability and determine your own regulatory obligations.

To begin with, even when deployed in the cloud, GxP applications still need to be validated and their underlying infrastructure still needs qualifying. The basic principles governing on-premise infrastructure qualification still apply to virtualized cloud infrastructure. Therefore, the current industry guidance should still be leveraged.

Traditionally, a regulated company was accountable and responsible for all aspects of their infrastructure qualification and application validation. With the introduction of public cloud providers, part of that responsibility has been shifted to a cloud supplier. The regulated company is still accountable, but the cloud supplier is now responsible for the qualification of the physical infrastructure, virtualization and service layers and to completely manage the services they provide, i.e. the big difference now is that there is a shared compliance responsibility model which is similar to the shared security responsibility model described earlier in this whitepaper.

Previous sections of this whitepaper described how AWS takes care of their part of the shared responsibility model. This section provides recommended strategies on how to cover your part of the shared responsibility model for GxP environments.

Involving AWS

Achieving GxP compliance when adopting cloud technology is a journey. AWS has helped many customers along this journey, and there is no compression algorithm for experience.

For example, Core Informatics states:

“Using AWS we can help organizations accelerate discovery while maintaining GxP compliance. It’s transforming our business and, more importantly, helping our customers transform their businesses.”

- Richard Duffy Vice President of Engineering, Core Informatics


For the complete case study, see [Core Informatics Case Study](#). For a selection of other customer case studies, see [AWS Customer Success](#).

Industry guidance recommends that companies should try and maximize supplier involvement and leverage our knowledge, experience and even our documentation as much as possible, as we provide in the following sections and throughout this whitepaper. Please [contact us](#) to discuss starting your journey to the cloud.

Qualification Strategy for Life Science Organizations

One of the concerns for regulated enterprise customers becomes how to qualify and demonstrate control over a system when so much of the responsibility is now shared with a supplier. The purpose of a Qualification Strategy is to answer this question. Some customers view a Qualification Strategy as an overarching Validation Plan. The strategy will employ various tactics to address the regulatory needs of the customer.

To better scope the Qualification Strategy the architecture should be viewed in its entirety. Enterprise scale customers typically define the architecture similar to the following:




Layered architecture

The diagram illustrates a layered architecture where a large part is delegated to AWS. From this approach, a Qualification Strategy can be defined to address four main areas:

1. How to work with AWS as a supplier of services.
2. The qualification of the regulated landing zone.
3. The qualification of building blocks.
4. Supporting the development of GxP applications.

The situation also changes slightly if the customer leverages a service provider, like [AWS Managed Services](#), where the build, operation and maintenance of the landing zone is done by the service provider. Conversely, for workloads that must remain on-premises, [AWS Outposts](#) extends AWS services including compute, storage and networking to customer sites. Data can be configured to be stored locally, and customers are responsible for controlling access around Outposts equipment. Data that is processed and stored on-premises is accessible over the customer's local network. In this case, customer responsibility extends into the *AWS Services* box (*Figure 3*).



Layered architecture with service provider

In this situation, even more responsibility is delegated by the customer and so the controls that are typically to be put in place by the customer to control their own operations, now need adaptations to check that similar controls are implemented by the service provider. The controls that are inherited from AWS, are shared or that remain with the customer were covered previously in the [Shared Security Responsibility Model](#) section of this whitepaper.

This section describes these layers at a high level. These layers are expanded upon in later sections of this whitepaper.


Industry Guidance

The following guidance is at a minimum, a best practice for your environment. You should still work with your professionals to ensure you comply with applicable regulatory requirements.

The same basic principles that govern on-premises infrastructure qualification also apply to cloud-based systems. Therefore, this strategy uses a tactic of leveraging and building upon that same industry guidance, using a cloud perspective, based on the following ISPE GAMP Good Practice Guides:

- GAMP Good Practice Guide: IT Infrastructure Control and Compliance 2nd Edition

- GAMP 5: A Risk-Based Approach to Compliant GxP Computerized Systems



Mapping industry guidance to architecture layers

Supplier Assessment and Management

Industry guidance suggests you leverage a supplier's experience, knowledge and documentation as much as possible. However, with so much responsibility now delegated to a supplier, the supplier assessment becomes even more important. A regulated company is still ultimately accountable for demonstrating that a GxP system is compliant, even if a supplier is responsible for parts of that system, so the regulated customer needs to establish enough trust in their supplier.

The cloud service provider must be assessed to first determine if they can deliver the services offered, but also to determine the suitability of their quality system and that it is systematically followed. The supplier needs to show that they have a QMS and follow a documented set of procedures and standards governing activities such as:

- Infrastructure Qualification and Operation
- Software Development
- Change Management
- Release Management
- Configuration Management
- Supplier Management
- Training

- System security

Details of the AWS QMS are covered in the [software](#) section of this whitepaper. The capabilities of AWS to satisfy these areas may be reassessed on a periodic basis, typically by reviewing the latest materials available through AWS Artifact (i.e. AWS certifications and audit reports).

It is also important to consider and plan how operational processes that span the shared responsibility model will operate. For example, how to manage changes made by AWS to services used as part of your landing zone or applications, incident response management in cases of outages, or portability requirements should there be a need to change cloud service provider.

Regulated Landing Zone

One of the main functions of the landing zone is to provide a solid foundation for development teams to build on, and address as many regulatory requirements as possible, thus removing the responsibility from the development teams.

The GAMP IT Infrastructure Control and Compliance guidance document follows a platform-based approach to the qualification of IT infrastructure which aligns perfectly with a customer's need to qualify their landing zone. [AWS Control Tower](#) provides the easiest way to set up and govern a new, secure, multi-account AWS environment based on best practices established through AWS' experience working with thousands of enterprises as they move to the cloud. See [AWS Control Tower features](#) for further details of what is included in a typical landing zone.

GAMP also describes two scenarios for approaching platform qualification.

- The first scenario is independent of any specific application and instead considers generic requirements for the platform, or landing zone.
- The second scenario is where the requirements of the platform are derived directly from the applications that will run on the platform.

For many customers, when first building their landing zone, the exact nature of the applications that will run on it is unclear. Therefore, this paper follows scenario 1 and approaches the qualification independent of any specific application. The objective of the landing zone is to provide application teams with a solid foundation upon which to build while addressing as many regulatory requirements as possible so the regulatory burden on the application team is reduced.

Tooling and Automation

Many customers include common tooling and automation as part of the landing zone so it can be qualified and validated once and used by all development teams. This common tooling is often within the shared services account of the landing zone.

For example, standard tooling around requirements management, test management, CI/CD, etc. need to be qualified and validated.

Similarly, any automation of IT processes also needs to be validated. For example, it's possible to automate the Installation Qualification (IQ) step of your Computer Systems Validation process.

Leveraging Managed Services

Instead of building and operating a landing zone yourself, you have the option of delegating this responsibility. This delegation could be to AWS by making use of [AWS Managed Services](#) or to a partner within the [AWS Partner Network](#) (APN). This means the service provider is responsible for building a landing zone based on AWS best practices, operating it in accordance with industry best practices and providing sufficient evidence to you in meeting your expectations.

Building Blocks

When it comes to the virtualized infrastructure and service instances supporting an application, there are two approaches to take.

1. Commission service instances for a specific application. Each application team therefore takes care of their own qualification activities, but possibly causing duplication of qualification effort across application/product teams.
2. Define 'building blocks' to be used across all applications. Create standard reusable building blocks that can be qualified once, and used many times.

To reduce the overall effort and the increase developer productivity, this paper assumes the use of option 2.

A 'building block' could be a single AWS service, such as Amazon EC2 or Amazon RDS, a combination of AWS services, such as Amazon VPC and NAT Gateway, or a complete stack, such as a three-tier web app or ML Ops stack.

The qualification of 'building blocks' follows a process based on the GAMP IT Infrastructure Control and Compliance guidance document's '9.2 Infrastructure Building Block Concept'.

To accelerate application development, you could create a library of these standardized and pre-qualified building blocks which are made available to development teams to easily consume.

Computer System Validation

With a solid and regulatory compliant foundation from the supplier assessment and landing zone, you can look at improving your existing Computer Systems Validation (CSV) standard operating procedure (SOP). Most customers already have existing SOPs around Computer Systems Validation. Many customers also state that their processes are old, slow and very manual in nature and view moving to the cloud as an opportunity to improve these processes and automate as much as possible.

The 'building block' approach described earlier is already a great accelerator for development teams, enabling them to stitch together pre-qualified building blocks to form the basis of their application. However, the application team is still responsible for the Validation of their application including Installation Qualification (IQ).

Again, this is another area where customer approach varies. Some customers follow existing processes and still generate documentation which is stored in their Enterprise Document Management System. Other customers have fully adopted automation and achieved 'near zero documentation' by validating their tool chain and relying on the data stored in those tools as evidence.

Validation During Cloud Migration

One important point that may be covered in a Qualification Strategy is the overarching approach to Computer System Validation (CSV) during migration. If you are embarking on a migration effort, part of the analysis of the application portfolio will be to identify archetypes, or groups of applications with similar architectures. A single runbook can be developed and then repeated for each of the applications in the group, speeding up migration.

At this point, if the applications are GxP relevant, the CSV/migration strategy can also be defined for the archetype and repeated for each application.

Supplier Assessment and Cloud Management

As mentioned earlier, gaining trust in a Cloud Service Provider is critical as you will be inheriting certain cloud infrastructure and security controls from the Cloud Service Provider. The approach described by industry guidance involves several steps which we will cover here.

Basic Supplier Assessment

The first (optional) step is to perform a basic supplier assessment to check the supplier's market reputation, knowledge and experience working in regulated industries, prior experience working with other regulated companies and what certifications they hold.

You can leverage industry assessments such as Gartner's assessment on the AWS News Blog post, [AWS Named as a Cloud Leader for the 10th Consecutive Year in Gartner's Infrastructure & Platform Services Magic Quadrant](#), and [customer testimonials](#).

Documentation Review

A supplier assessment often includes a deep dive into the assets available from the supplier describing their QMS and operations. This includes reviewing certifications, audit reports and whitepapers. For more information, see the [AWS Risk and Compliance whitepaper](#).

AWS and its customers share control over the IT environment, and both parties have responsibility for managing the IT environment. The AWS part in this shared responsibility includes providing services on a highly secure and controlled platform and providing a wide array of security features customers can use. The customer's responsibility includes configuring their IT environments in a secure and controlled manner for their purposes. While customers don't communicate their use and configurations to AWS, AWS does communicate its security and control environment relevant to customers. AWS does this by doing the following:

- Obtaining industry certifications and independent third-party attestations
- Publishing information about the AWS security and control practices in whitepapers and web site content
- Providing certificates, reports, and other documentation directly to AWS customers under NDA (as required)

For a more detailed description of AWS Security, see [AWS Cloud Security](#).

[AWS Artifact](#) provides on-demand access to AWS security and compliance reports and select online agreements. Reports available in AWS Artifact include our Service Organization Control (SOC) reports, Payment Card Industry (PCI) reports, and certifications from accreditation bodies across geographies and compliance verticals that validate the implementation and operating effectiveness of AWS security controls. Agreements available in AWS Artifact include the Business

Associate Addendum (BAA) and the Nondisclosure Agreement (NDA). For a more detailed description of AWS Compliance, see [AWS Compliance](#).

If you have additional questions about the AWS certifications or the compliance documentation AWS makes available, please bring those questions to your account team.

Review Service Level Agreements (SLA)

AWS offers service level agreements for certain AWS services. Further information can be found under [Service Level Agreements \(SLAs\)](#).

Audit

Mail Audit – To supplement the AWS documentation you have gathered, a mail audit questionnaire (sometimes referred to as a supplier questionnaire) may be submitted to AWS to gather additional information or to ask clarifying questions. You should work with your account team to request a mail audit.

Onsite Audit – AWS regularly undergoes independent third-party attestation audits to provide assurance that control activities are operating as intended. Currently, AWS participates in over 50 different audit programs. The results of these audits are documented by the assessing body and made available for all AWS customers through [AWS Artifact](#). These third-party attestations and certifications of AWS provide you with visibility and independent validation of the control environment, eliminating the need for customers to perform individual onsite audits. Such attestations and certifications may also help relieve you of the requirement to perform certain validation work yourself for your IT environment in the AWS Cloud. For details, see the [AWS Quality Management System](#) section of this whitepaper

Contractual Agreement

Once you have completed a supplier assessment of AWS, the next step is to set up a contractual agreement for using AWS services. The AWS Customer Agreement is available at: <https://aws.amazon.com/agreement/>). You are responsible for interpreting regulations and determining whether the appropriate requirements are included in a contract with standard terms. If you have any questions about entering into a service agreement with AWS, please contact your account team.

Cloud Management Processes

There are certain processes that span the shared responsibility model and typically must be captured in your QMS in the form of SOPs and work instructions.

Change Management

Change Management is a bidirectional process when dealing with a cloud service provider. On the one hand, AWS is continually making changes to improve its services as mentioned earlier in this paper. On the other hand, you can make feature requests, which is highly encouraged as [90% of the AWS service features are as a result of direct customer feedback](#).

Customers typically use a risk-based approach appropriate for the type of change to determine the subsequent actions.

Changes to AWS services which add functionality are not usually a concern because no application will be using that new functionality yet. However, new functionality may trigger an internal assessment to determine if it affects the risk profile of the service and should be allowed for use. If mandated by your QMS, this may trigger a re-qualification of building blocks prior to allowing the new functionality.

Deprecations are considered more critical because they could break an application. A deprecation may include a third-party library, utility, or version of languages such as Python. The deprecation of a service or feature is rare. Once you receive the notification of a deprecation, you should trigger an impact assessment. If an impact is found, the application teams should plan changes to remediate the impact. The notice period for a deprecation should allow for time for assessment and remediation. AWS will also help you understand the impact of the change.

There are other changes such as enhancements and bug fixes which do not change the functionality of the service and do not trigger notifications to customers. These types of changes are synonymous with “standard” changes in ITIL which are usually pre-authorized, low risk, relatively common and follow a specific procedure. If you want to generate evidence showing no regression is introduced due to this class of change, you could create a test bed which repeatedly tests the AWS services to detect regression.

Should a problem be uncovered, it should immediately be reported to AWS for resolution.

Incident Management

The Amazon Security Operations team employs industry-standard diagnostic procedures to drive resolution during business-impacting events. Staff operators provide 24x7x365 coverage to detect incidents and to manage the impact and resolution. As part of the process, potential breaches of customer content are investigated and escalated to AWS Security and AWS Legal. Affected customers and regulators are notified of breaches and incidents where legally required. You can subscribe to the AWS Security Bulletins page (<https://aws.amazon.com/security/security-bulletins>), which provides information regarding identified security issues. You can subscribe to the Security Bulletin RSS Feed to keep abreast of security announcements on the Security Bulletin webpage.

You are responsible for reporting incidents involving your storage, virtual machines, and applications, unless the incident is caused by AWS.

For more information refer to the AWS Vulnerability Reporting webpage: <https://aws.amazon.com/security/vulnerability-reporting/>.

Customer Support

AWS develops and maintains customer support procedures that include metrics to verify performance. When you contact AWS to report that AWS services do not meet their quality objectives, your issue is investigated and, where required, commercially reasonable actions are taken to resolve it. Where AWS is the first to become aware of a customer impacting issue, procedures exist for notifying impacted customers according to their contract requirements and/or via the AWS Service Health Dashboard <http://status.aws.amazon.com/>.

You should ensure that your policies and procedures align to the customer support options provided by AWS. Additional details may be found in the [Customer Complaints](#) and [Customer Training](#) sections in this document.

Cloud Platform/Landing Zone Qualification

A landing zone, such as the one created by [AWS Control Tower](#), is a well-architected, multi-account AWS environment that's based on security and compliance best practices.

The landing zone includes capabilities for centralized logging, security, account vending, and core network connectivity. We recommend that you then build features into the landing zone to satisfy as many regulatory requirements as possible and to effectively remove the burden from the

development teams which build on it. The objective of the landing zone, and the team owning it, should be to provide the guardrails and features that free the developers to use the 'right tools for the job' and focus on delivering differentiated business value rather than on compliance.

For example, account vending could be extended to include account bootstrapping to automatically direct logs to the central logging account, drop default VPCs and instantiate an approved VPC (if needed at all), deploy baseline stack sets, and establish standard roles to support things like automated installation qualification (IQ). The Shared Services account would house centralized capabilities and automations such as the mentioned automation of IQ. The centralized logging account could satisfy regulatory requirements around audit trails including, for example, record retention through the use of lifecycle policies. The addition of a backup and archive account could provide standard [backup and restore](#) along with archiving services for application teams to use.

Similarly, a standardized approach to disaster recovery (DR) can be provided by the landing zone using tools like [CloudEndure Disaster Recovery](#).

If you follow AWS guidance and implement a Cloud Center of Excellence (CCoE) and consider the landing zone as a product, the CCoE team takes on the responsibility of building these capabilities into the landing zone to satisfy regulatory requirements.

The number of capabilities built into the landing zone is often influenced by the organizational structure around it. If you have a traditional structure with a divide between development teams and infrastructure, tasks like server and network management are centralized and these capabilities are built into the platform. If you adopt a product-centric operating model, the development teams become more autonomous and responsible for more of the stack, perhaps even the entire stack from the VPC and everything built on it. Also consider, with serverless architectures, you may not need a VPC because there are no servers to manage.

This underlying cloud platform when supporting GxP applications should be qualified to demonstrate proper configuration and to ensure that a state of control and compliance is maintained. The qualification of the cloud can follow a traditional infrastructure qualification project which includes the planning, specification and design, risk assessment, qualification test planning, installation qualification (IQ), operational qualification (OQ), and handover (as described in Section 5 of GAMP IT, Qualification of Platforms).

The components (configuration items) that make up the landing zone should all be deployed through automated means, i.e. an automated pipeline. This approach supports better change management going forward.

After the completion of the infrastructure project and the creation of the operations and maintenance SOPs, you have a qualified cloud platform upon which GxP workloads can run. The SOPs cover topics such as account provisioning, access management, change management, and so on.

Maintaining the Landing Zone's Qualified State

Once the landing zone is live it must be maintained in a qualified state. Unless the operations are delegated to a partner, you typically create a Cloud Platform Operations and Maintenance SOP based on Section 6 of GAMP IT Infrastructure Control and Compliance.

According to GAMP, there are several areas where control must be shown, such as change management, configuration management, security management, and others. GAMP guidance also suggests that 'automatic tools' should be used whenever possible. The following sections cover these control areas and how AWS services can help with automation.

Change Management

Change Management processes control how changes to configuration items are made. These processes should include an assessment of the potential impact on the GxP applications supported by the landing zone. As mentioned earlier, all of the landing zone components are deployed using an automated pipeline. Therefore, once a change has been approved and committed in the source code repository tool, like AWS CodeCommit, the pipeline is triggered and the change deployed. There will likely be multiple pipelines for the various parts that make up the landing zone.

The landing zone is made up of infrastructure and automation components. Now, through the use of infrastructure as code, there is no real difference between how these different components are deployed.

We recommend a continuous deployment methodology because it ensures changes are automatically built, tested, and deployed, with the goal of eliminating as many manual steps as possible. Continuous deployment seeks to eliminate the manual nature of this process and automate each step, allowing development teams to standardize the process and increase the efficiency with which they deploy code. In continuous deployment, an entire release process is a *pipeline* containing stages. AWS CodePipeline can be used along with AWS CodeCommit, AWS CodeBuild, and AWS CodeDeploy. For customers needing additional approval steps, AWS CodePipeline also supports the inclusion of manual steps.

All changes to AWS services, either manual or automated are logged by AWS CloudTrail.

[AWS CloudTrail](#) is a service that enables governance, compliance, operational auditing, and risk auditing of your AWS account. With CloudTrail, you can log, continuously monitor, and retain account activity related to actions across your AWS infrastructure. CloudTrail provides event history of your AWS account activity, including actions taken through the AWS Management Console, AWS SDKs, command line tools, and other AWS services. This event history simplifies security analysis, resource change tracking, and troubleshooting. In addition, you can use CloudTrail to detect unusual activity in your AWS accounts. These capabilities help simplify operational analysis and troubleshooting.

Of course, customers also want to be alerted about any unauthorized and unintended changes. You can use a combination of AWS CloudTrail and AWS CloudWatch to detect unauthorized changes made to the production environment and even automate immediate remediation. [Amazon CloudWatch](#) is a monitoring service for AWS Cloud resources and can be used to trigger responses to AWS CloudTrail events (<https://docs.aws.amazon.com/awscloudtrail/latest/userguide/cloudwatch-alarms-for-cloudtrail.html>).

Configuration Management

Going hand in hand with change management is configuration management. Configuration items (CIs) are the components that make up a system and CIs should only be modified through the change management process.

[Infrastructure as Code](#) brings automation to the provisioning process through tools like [AWS CloudFormation](#). Rather than relying on manually performed steps, both administrators and developers can instantiate infrastructure using configuration files. Infrastructure as Code treats these configuration files as software code. These files can be used to produce a set of artifacts, namely the compute, storage, network, and application services that comprise an operating environment. Infrastructure as Code eliminates configuration drift through automation, thereby increasing the speed and agility of infrastructure deployments.

[AWS Tagging and Resource Groups](#) lets you organize your AWS landscape by applying tags at different levels of granularity. Tags allow you to label, collect, and organize resources and components within services.

The [Tag Editor](#) lets you manage tags across services and AWS Regions. Using this approach, you can globally manage all the application, business, data, and technology components of your target landscape.

A [Resource Group](#) is a collection of resources that share one or more tags. It can be used to create an enterprise architecture view of your IT landscape, consolidating AWS resources into a per-

project (that is, the on-going programs that realize your target landscape), per-entity (that is, capabilities, roles, processes), and per-domain (that is, Business, Application, Data, Technology) view.

[AWS Config](#) is a service that lets you assess, audit, and evaluate the configurations of AWS resources. AWS Config continuously monitors and records your AWS resource configurations and lets you automate the evaluation of recorded configurations against desired configurations. With AWS Config, you can review changes in configurations and determine their overall compliance against the configurations specified in your internal guidelines. This enables you to simplify compliance auditing, security analysis, change management, and operational troubleshooting. In addition, AWS provides conformance packs for AWS Config to provide a general-purpose compliance framework designed to enable you to create security, operational or cost-optimization governance checks using managed or custom AWS Config rules and AWS Config remediation actions, including a [conformance pack for 21 CFR 11](#).

You can use AWS CloudFormation, AWS Config, Tagging, and Resource Groups to see exactly what cloud assets your company is using at any moment. These services also make it easier to detect when a rogue server or shadow application appear in your target production landscape.

Security Management

AWS has defined a set of best practices for customers who are designing the security infrastructure and configuration for applications running in Amazon Web Services (AWS).

These [AWS resources](#) provides security best practices that will help you define your Information Security Management System (ISMS) and build a set of security policies and processes for your organization so you can protect your data and assets in the AWS Cloud.

These [AWS resources](#) also provide an overview of different security topics such as identifying, categorizing and protecting your assets on AWS, managing access to AWS resources using accounts, users and groups and suggesting ways you can secure your data, operating systems, applications and overall infrastructure in the cloud.

AWS provides you with an [extensive set of tools](#) to secure workloads in the cloud.

If you implement full automation it could negate the need for anyone to have direct access to any environment beyond development. However, if a situation occurs that requires someone to access a production environment, they must explicitly request access, have the access reviewed and approved by the appropriate owner, and upon approval, obtain temporary access with the least

privilege needed and only for the duration required. You should then track their activities through logging while they have access. You can refer to this [AWS resource](#) for further information.

Problem and Incident Management

With AWS you get access to many tools and features to help you meet your problem and incident management objectives. These capabilities help you establish a configuration and security baseline that meets your objectives for your applications running in the cloud.

When a deviation from your baseline does occur (such as by a misconfiguration), you may need to respond and investigate. To successfully do so, you must understand the basic concepts of security incident response within your AWS environment, as well as the issues needed to consider to prepare, educate, and train your cloud teams before security issues occur. It is important to know which controls and capabilities you can use, to review topical examples for resolving potential concerns, and to identify remediation methods that can be used to leverage automation and improve response speed.

Because security incident response can be a complex topic, we encourage you to start small, develop runbooks, leverage basic capabilities, and create an initial library of incident response mechanisms to iterate from and improve upon. This initial work should include teams that are not involved with security and should include your legal departments, so that they are better able to understand the impact that incident response (IR), and the choices they have made, have on your corporate goals.

For a comprehensive guide, see the [AWS Security Incident Response Guide](#).

Backup, Restore, Archiving

The ability to back up and restore is required for all validated applications. It is therefore a common capability that can be centralized as part of the regulated landing zone. Backup and restore should not be confused with archiving and retrieval but the two areas can be combined into a centralized capability.

For a cloud-based backup and restore capability, consider [AWS Backup](#).

AWS Backup is a fully managed backup service that makes it easy to centralize and automate the backup of data across AWS services. Using AWS Backup, you can centrally configure backup policies and monitor backup activity for AWS resources, such as Amazon EBS volumes, Amazon EC2 instances, Amazon RDS databases, Amazon DynamoDB tables, Amazon EFS file systems, Amazon

FSx file systems, and AWS Storage Gateway volumes. AWS Backup automates and consolidates backup tasks previously performed service-by-service, removing the need to create custom scripts and manual processes. With just a few clicks in the AWS Backup console, you can create backup policies that automate backup schedules and retention management. AWS Backup provides a fully managed, policy-based backup solution, simplifying your backup management, enabling you to meet your business and regulatory backup compliance requirements.

Disaster Recovery

In traditional on-premises situations, Disaster Recovery (DR) involves a separate data center located a certain distance from the primary data center. This separate data center only exists in case of a complete disaster impacting the primary data center. Often the infrastructure at the DR site sits idle, or at best hosts pre-production instances of applications thus running the risk of it being out-of-sync with production. With the advent of cloud, DR is now much easier and cheaper.

The AWS global infrastructure is built around AWS Regions and Availability Zones (AZ). AWS Regions provide multiple physically separated and isolated Availability Zones, which are connected with low-latency, high-throughput, and highly redundant networking. With Availability Zones, you can design and operate applications and databases that automatically fail over between Availability Zones without interruption. Availability Zones are more highly available, fault tolerant, and scalable than traditional single or multiple data center infrastructures.

With AWS Availability Zones, it is very easy to create a multi-AZ architecture capable of withstanding a complete failure of one or more zones. For even more resilience, multiple AWS Regions can be used. With the use of Infrastructure as Code, the infrastructure and applications in a DR Region do not need to run all of the time. In case of a disaster, the entire application stack can be deployed into another Region. The only components that must run all the time are those keeping the data repositories in sync.

With tooling like [CloudEndure Disaster Recovery](#), you can now automate disaster recovery.

Performance Monitoring

Amazon CloudWatch is a monitoring service for AWS Cloud resources and the applications you run on AWS. You can use CloudWatch to collect and track metrics, collect, and monitor log files, set alarms, and automatically react to changes in customer AWS resources. CloudWatch monitors and logs the behavior of the customer application landscape. CloudWatch can also trigger events based on the behavior of your application.

Qualifying Building Blocks

Customers frequently want to know how AWS gives developers freedom to use any AWS service while still maintaining regulatory compliance and fast development. To address this problem you can leverage technology, but this also involves changes in process design to move away from blocking steps and towards guardrails. The changes required to your processes and IT operating model is beyond the scope of this whitepaper. However, we cover the core steps of a supporting process to qualify building blocks which is one tactic for maintaining regulatory compliance more efficiently.

The infrastructure building block concept as defined by GAMP is an approach to qualify individual components or combinations of components which can then be put together to build out the IT infrastructure. The approach is applicable to AWS services.

The benefit of this approach is that you can qualify one instance of a building block once and assume all the other instances will perform the same way reducing the overall effort across applications. The approach also enables customers to change a building block without needing to re-qualify all of the others or re-validate the applications dependent upon the infrastructure.

Service Approval

Service approval is a technique used by many customers as part of architecture governance, that is, it's used across regulated and non-regulated workloads. Customers often consider multiple regulations when approving a service for use by development teams. For example, you may allow all services to be used in sandbox accounts, but may restrict the services in an account to only HIPAA-eligible services if the application is subject to HIPAA regulations.

Service approval is implemented through the use of [AWS Organizations and Service Control Policies](#).

You could take this approach to allow services to be used as part of GxP relevant applications. For example, a combination of ISO, PCI, SOC, and HIPAA-eligibility may provide sufficient confidence. Sometimes, customers want to implement automated controls over the approved service as described in [Approving AWS services for GxP workloads](#).

You may prefer to follow a more rigorous qualification process like the following building block qualification.

Building Block Qualification

The qualification of AWS service *building blocks* follows a process based on the GAMP IT Infrastructure Control and Compliance guidance documents 'Infrastructure Building Block Concept' (Section 9 / Appendix 2 of GAMP IT).

According to EU GMP, the definition of [qualification](#) is: "Action of proving that any equipment works correctly and actually leads to the expected results." The equipment also needs to continue to lead to the expected results over its lifetime.

In other words, your process should show that the building block works as intended and is kept under control throughout its operational life. There will be written procedures in place and, when executed, records will show that the activities actually occurred. Also, the staff operating the services need to be appropriately trained. This process is often described in an SOP describing the overall qualification and commissioning strategy, the scope, roles and responsibilities, a deliverables list and any good engineering practices that will be followed to satisfy qualification and commissioning requirements.

With the number of AWS services, it can be difficult for you to qualify all AWS services at once. An iterative and risk-based approach is recommended where services are qualified in priority order. Initial prioritization will take into account the needs of the first applications moving to cloud and then the prioritization can be reassessed as demand for cloud services increases.

Design Stage

Requirements

The first activity is to consider the requirements for the building block. One approach is to look at the service API definition. Each AWS service has a clearly documented API describing the entire functionality of that service. Many service APIs are extensive and support some advanced functionality. However, not all of this advanced functionality may be required initially so any existing business use cases can be considered to help refine the scope.

For example, when noting Amazon S3 requirements, you include the core functionality of creating/deleting buckets and the ability to put/get/delete objects. However, you may not include the lifecycle policy functionality because this functionality is not yet needed. These requirements are captured in the building block requirements specification / requirements repository.

It's also important to consider non-functional requirements. To ensure suitability of a service you can look at the services SLA and limits.

Gap Analysis

Where application requirements already exist, in the same way you can restrict the scope, you can also identify any gaps. Either the gap can be addressed by including more functionality for the building block, like bringing the Amazon S3 Bucket Lifecycle functionality into scope, or the service is not suitable for satisfying the requirements and an alternate building block should be used.

If no other service seems to meet the requirements, you can custom develop a service, or make a feature request to AWS for service enhancement.

Risk Assessment

Infrastructure is qualified to ensure reliability, security, and business continuity for the validated applications running on it. These three dimensions are usually included as part of any risk assessment. The published AWS SLA provides confidence in AWS services reliability. Data regarding the current status of the service plus historical adherence to SLAs is available from <https://status.aws.amazon.com>. For confidence in security, the AWS certifications can be checked for the relevant service. For business continuity, AWS builds to guard against outages and incidents, and accounts for them in the design of AWS services, so when disruptions do occur, their impact on customers and the continuity of services is as minimal as possible.

This step is also not only for GxP qualification purposes. The risk assessment should include any additional checks for other regulations such as HIPAA.

When assessing the risks for a cloud service, it's important to consider the relationship to other building blocks. For example, an Amazon RDS database may have a relationship to the Amazon VPC building block because you decided a database is only allowed to exist within the private subnet of a VPC. Therefore, the VPC is taking care of many of the risks around access control. These dependencies will be captured in the risk assessment and then focus on additional risks specific to the service, or residual risks which cannot be catered for by the surrounding production environment.

Each cloud service building block goes through a risk assessment that identifies a list of risks. For each identified risk, a mitigation plan is created. The mitigation plan can influence one or more of the following components:

- Service Control Policy
- Technical Design/Infrastructure as Code Template
- Monitoring & Alerting of Automated Compliance Controls

A risk can be mitigated through the use of Service Control Policies (SCPs) where a service or specific operation is deemed too risky and its use explicitly denied through such a policy. For example, you can use an SCP to restrict the deletion of an Amazon S3 object through the AWS Management Console. Another option is to control service usage through the technical design of an approved Infrastructure as Code (IaC) template where certain configuration parameters are restricted or parameterized. For example, you may use an AWS CloudFormation template to always configure an Amazon S3 bucket as private. Finally, you can define rules that feed into monitoring and alerting. For example, if the policy states Amazon S3 buckets cannot be public, but this configuration is not enforced in the infrastructure template, then the infrastructure can be monitored for any public Amazon S3 buckets. When an S3 bucket is configured as public, an alert triggers remediation, such as immediately changing a bucket to private.

Technical Design

In response to the specified requirements and risks, an architecture design specification will be created by a Cloud Infrastructure Architect describing the logical service building block design and traceability from risk or requirement to the design. This design specification will, among other things, describe the capabilities of the building block to the end users and application development teams.

Design Review

To verify that the proposed design is suitable for the intended purpose within the surrounding IT infrastructure design, a design review can be performed by a suitably trained person as a final check.

Construction Stage

The logical design may be captured in a document, but the physical design is captured in an Infrastructure as Code (IaC) template, like an AWS CloudFormation template. This IaC template is always used to deploy an instance of the building block ensuring consistency. For one approach, see the [Automating GxP compliance in the cloud: Best practices and architecture guidelines](#) blog post.

The IaC template will use parameters to deal with workload variances. As part of the design effort it will be determined, often by IT Quality and Security, which parameters affect the risk profile of the service and so should be controlled and which parameters can be set by the user. For example, the name of a database can be set by the template user and generally does not affect the risk

profile of a database service. However, any parameter controlling encryption does affect the risk profile and therefore is fixed in the template and not changeable by the template user.

The template is a text file that can be edited. However, the rules expressed in the template are also automated within the surrounding monitoring and alerting. For example, the rule stating that the encryption setting on a database must be set can be checked by automated rules. Therefore, a developer may override the encryption setting in the development environment, but that change isn't allowed to progress to a validated environment or beyond.

At this point, automated test scripts can be prepared for executing during the qualification step to generate test evidence. The author of the automated tests must be suitably trained and a separate and suitably trained person performs a code review and/or random testing of the automated tests to ensure the quality level.

The automated tests ensure the building block initially functions as expected. These tests can be run again to ensure the building block continues to function as expected, especially after any change. However, to ensure nothing has changed once in production, you should identify and create automated controls. Using the Amazon S3 example again, all buckets should be private. If a public bucket is detected, it can be switched back to private and an alert raised and notification sent. You can also determine the individual that created the S3 bucket and revoke their permissions.

The final part of construction is the authoring and approval of any needed additional guidance and operations manuals. For example, how to recover a database would be included in the operations manual of an Amazon RDS building block.

Qualification and Commissioning Stage

It's important to note that infrastructure is deployed in the same way for every building block, i.e. through [AWS CloudFormation](#) using an Infrastructure as Code template. Therefore, there is usually no need for building block specific installation instructions. Also, you are confident that every deployment is done according to specification and has the correct configuration.

Automated Testing

If you want to generate test evidence, you can demonstrate that the functional requirements are fulfilled and that all identified risks have been mitigated, thus indicating the building block is fit for its intended use, through the execution of the automated tests created during construction. The output of these automated tests are captured into a secure repository and can be used as test evidence.

This automation deploys the building block template into a test environment, executes the automated tests, captures the evidence, and then destroys the stack again avoiding any ongoing costs.

Testing may only make sense in combination with other building blocks. For example, the testing of a NAT gateway can only be done within an existing VPC. One alternative is to test within the context of standard archetypes, i.e. a complete stack for a typical application architecture.

Handover to Operations Stage

The handover stage ensures that the cloud operation team is familiar with the new building block and is trained in any service specific operations. Once the operations team approves the new building block, the service can be approved by changing a Service Control Policy (SCP). The Infrastructure as Code template can be made available for use by adding it into the [Service Catalog](#) or other secure template repository.

If the response to a risk was a SCP or Monitoring Rule change, then the process to deploy those changes are triggered at this stage.

Computer Systems Validation (CSV)

You must still perform computer systems validation activities even if an application is running in the cloud. In fact, the overarching qualification strategy we have laid out in this paper has ensured that this CSV process can fundamentally be the same as before and hasn't become more difficult for the application development teams through the introduction of cloud technologies.

However, with the solid foundation provided by AWS and the regulated landing zone we can shift the focus to improving a traditional CSV process.

You typically have a Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) describing your Software Development Lifecycle (SDLC) which is often based on GAMP 5: A Risk-Based Approach to Compliant GxP Computerized Systems. Many SOPs we have seen involve a lot of manual work and approvals which slow down the process. The more automation that can be introduced, the quicker the process, and the lower the chances of human error.

The automation of IT processes is nothing new and customers have been implementing automated toolchains for years for on-premises development. The move to cloud provides all those same capabilities but also introduces some additional opportunities, especially in the virtualized infrastructure areas.

In this section we will focus primarily on those additional capabilities now available through the cloud.

Automating Installation Qualification (IQ)

It's important to note that even though we are qualifying the underlying building blocks, the application teams still need to validate their application including performing the installation qualification (IQ) as part of their normal CSV activities in order to demonstrate their application specific combination of infrastructure building blocks was deployed and is functioning as expected. However, they can focus on testing the interaction between building blocks rather than the functionality of each building block itself.

As mentioned, the automation of the development toolchain is nothing new to any high performing engineering team. The use of CI/CD and automated testing tools has been around for a long time. What hasn't been possible before is the fully automated deployment of infrastructure and execution of the Installation Qualification (IQ) step.

The use of Infrastructure as Code opens up the possibility to automate the IQ step as described in this [blog post](#). The controlled infrastructure template acts as the pre-approved specification which can be compared against the stacks deployed by AWS CloudFormation. Summary reports and test evidence can be created or, if a deviation is found, the stack can be rolled back to the last known good state.

Assuming the IQ step completes successfully, the automation can continue to the automation of Operational Qualification (OQ) and Performance Qualification (PQ).

Maintaining an Application's Qualified State

Of course, once an application has been deployed, it needs to be maintained under a state of control. However, a lot of the heavy lifting for things like change management, configuration management, security management, backup and restore have been built into the regulated landing zone for the benefit of all application teams.

Conclusion and further reading

If you are a Life Science customer with GxP obligations, you retain accountability and responsibility for your use of AWS products, including the applications and virtualized infrastructure you develop, validate and operate using AWS Products. Using the recommendations in this whitepaper, you can evaluate your use of AWS products within the context of your quality system, and consider strategies for implementing the controls required for GxP compliance, as a component of your regulated products and systems.

Further reading

For additional information, see:

- [AWS Architecture Center](#)
- [AWS Compliance](#)
- [Healthcare & Life Sciences on AWS](#)

Document history and contributors

To be notified about updates to this whitepaper, subscribe to the RSS feed.

Change	Description	Date
Whitepaper updated	Updated to include more elements of AWS Quality System Information and updated guidance on customer approach to GxP compliance on AWS.	March 9, 2021
Initial publication	Whitepaper first published.	January 1, 2016

Note

To subscribe to RSS updates, you must have an RSS plug-in enabled for the browser that you are using.

Contributors

Contributors to this document include:

- Sylva Krizan PhD, Security Assurance, AWS Global Healthcare and Life Sciences
- Rye Robinson, Solutions Architect, AWS Global Healthcare and Life Sciences
- Ian Sutcliffe, Senior Solutions Architect, AWS Global Healthcare and Life Sciences

Appendix: 21 CFR 11 Controls – Shared Responsibility for use with AWS services

Applicability of 21 CFR 11 to regulated medical products and GxP systems are the responsibility of the customer, as determined by the intended use of the system(s) or product(s). AWS has mapped some of these requirements based on the AWS Shared Responsibility Model; however, customers are responsible for meeting their own regulatory obligations.

Below, we have identified each subpart of 21 CFR 11 and clarified areas where AWS services and operations and the customer share responsibility in order to meet 21 CFR 11 requirements.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
11.10 Controls for closed systems. Persons who use closed systems to create, modify, maintain, or transmit electronic records shall employ procedures and controls designed to ensure the authenticity, integrity, and, when appropriate, the confidentiality of electronic records, and to ensure that the signer cannot readily repudiate the signed record as not genuine. Such procedures and controls shall include the following:		
11.10(a) Validation of systems to ensure accuracy, reliability, consistent intended performance, and	AWS services are built and tested to conform to IT industry standards, including SOC, ISO, PCI, and others https://aws.amazon.com/co	AWS products are basic building blocks that allow you to create private, virtualized infrastructure environments for your custom software

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
the ability to discern invalid or altered records.	compliance/programs/. AWS compliance programs and reports provide objective evidence that AWS has implemented several key controls, including, but not limited to: - Control over the installation and operation of AWS product components, including both software components and hardware components; - Control over product changes and configuration management; - Risk management program; - Management review, planning, and operational monitoring; - Security management of information availability, integrity, and confidentiality; and - Data protection controls including mechanisms for data backup, restore and archiving. - All purchased materials and services intended for use in	applications and commercial-off-the-shelf applications. In this way, you remain responsible for enabling (i.e. installing), configuring, and operating AWS products to meet your data-, application-, and industry-specific needs like GxP software validation and GxP infrastructure qualification as well as validation to support 21 CFR Part 11 requirements. AWS products are, however, unlike traditional infrastructure software products in that they are highly automatable, allowing you to programmatically create qualified infrastructure via version controlled JSON[1] scripts instead of manually-executed paper protocols, where applicable. This automation capability not only reduces effort, it increases control and consistency of the infrastructure environment such that continuous qualification [2] is possible. Installation qualification of AWS services into your

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
	production processes are documented, and documentation is reviewed and approved prior to use and verified to be in conformance with the specifications. Final inspection and testing is performed on AWS services prior to their release to general availability. The final service release review procedure includes a verification that all acceptance data is present and that all product requirements were met. Once in production, AWS services undergo continuous performance monitoring. In addition, AWS's significant customer base, authorization for use by government agencies, and recognition by industry analysts as a leading cloud services provider are further evidence of AWS products delivering their documented functionality https://aws.amazon.com/documentation/. Relevant SOC2 Common Criteria: CC1.2, CC1.4, CC3.2, CC7.1, CC7.2, CC7.3, CC7.4	environment, operational and performance qualification (IQ/OQ/PQ) are your responsibility, as are the validation activities to demonstrate that systems with GxP workloads managing electronic records are appropriate for the intended use and meet regulatory requirements.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
11.10(b) The ability to generate accurate and complete copies of records in both human readable and electronic form suitable for inspection, review, and copying by the agency. Persons should contact the agency if there are any questions regarding the ability of the agency to perform such review and copying of the electronic records.	Controls are implemented subject to industry best practices in order to ensure services provide complete and accurate outputs with expected performance committed to in SLAs.; Relevant SOC2 Common Criteria: A1.1	AWS has a series of Security Best Practices (https://aws.amazon.com/security/security-resources/) and additional resources you may reference to help protect data hosted within AWS. You ultimately will verify that electronic records are accurate and complete within your AWS environment, and determine the format by which data is human and/or machine readable and is suitable for inspection by regulators, per the regulatory requirements.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(c) Protection of records to enable their accurate and ready retrieval throughout the records retention period.	Controls are implemented subject to industry best practices in order to ensure services provide complete and accurate outputs with expected performance committed to in SLAs; Relevant SOC2 Common Criteria: A1.1 AWS has identified critical system components required to maintain the availability of our system and recover service in the event of outage. Critical system components are backed up across multiple, isolated locations known as Availability Zones, and backups are maintained. Each Availability Zone is engineered to operate independently with high reliability. Backups of critical AWS system components are monitored for successful replication across multiple Availability Zones. Refer to the AWS SOC 2 Report CC A1.2. The AWS Resiliency Program encompasses the processes and procedures by which	AWS has a series of Security Best Practices (https://aws.amazon.com/security/security-resources/) and additional resources you may reference to help protect your data hosted within AWS. You are responsible for implementation of appropriate security configurations for your environment to protect data integrity as well as ensure data and resources are only retrieved by appropriate permission. You are also responsible for creating and testing record retention policies as well as backup and recovery processes. You are responsible for properly configuring and using the Service Offerings and taking your own steps to maintain appropriate security, protection, and backup of your Customer Content, which may include the use of encryption technology (to protect your content from unauthorized access) and routine archiving. Using Service Offerings such as Amazon S3, Amazon Glacier, and Amazon RDS, in

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
	AWS identifies, responds to, and recovers from a major event or incident within our environment. This program builds upon the traditional approach of addressing contingency management, which incorporates elements of business continuity and disaster recovery plans and expands this to consider critical elements of proactive risk mitigation strategies such as engineering physically separate Availability Zones (AZs) and continuous infrastructure capacity planning. AWS service resiliency plans are periodically reviewed by members of the Senior Executive management team and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The AWS Business Continuity Plan outlines measures to avoid and lessen environmental disruptions. It includes operational details about steps to take before, during, and after an event. The Business Continuity Plan is supported by testing that includes simulations of different scenarios.	combination with replication and high availability configurations, AWS's broad range of storage solutions for backup and recovery are designed for many customer workloads. https://aws.amazon.com/backup-recovery/ AWS services provide you with capabilities to design for resiliency and maintain business continuity, including the utilization of frequent server instance back-ups, data redundancy replication, and the flexibility to place instances and store data within multiple geographic regions as well as across multiple Availability Zones within each region. You need to architect your AWS usage to take advantage of multiple regions and availability zones. Distributing applications across multiple availability zones provides the ability to remain resilient in the face of most failure modes, including natural disasters or system failures. The AWS cloud supports many popular disaster recovery

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
	During and after testing, AWS documents people and process performance, corrective actions, and lessons learned with the aim of continuous improvement. AWS data centers are designed to anticipate and tolerate failure while maintaining service levels. In case of failure, automated processes move traffic away from the affected area. Core applications are deployed to an N+1 standard, so that in the event of a data center failure, there is sufficient capacity to enable traffic to be load-balanced to the remaining sites. Refer to the AWS SOC 2 Report CC3.1, CC3.2, A1.2, A1.3.	(DR) architectures, from “pilot light” environments that are ready to scale up at a moment’s notice to “hot standby” environments that enable rapid failover. You are responsible for DR planning and testing.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(d) Limiting system access to authorized individuals.	AWS implements both physical and logical security controls. Physical access to all AWS data centers housing IT infrastructure components is restricted to authorized data center employees, vendors, and contractors who require access in order to execute their jobs. Employees requiring data center access must first apply for access and provide a valid business justification. These requests are granted based on the principle of least privilege, where requests must specify to which layer of the data center the individual needs access, and are time-bound. Requests are reviewed and approved by authorized personnel, and access is revoked after the requested time expires. Once granted admittance, individuals are restricted to areas specified in their permissions. Access to data centers is regularly reviewed. Access is automatically revoked when an employee's record is terminated in Amazon's	AWS provides you with the ability to configure and use the AWS service offerings in order to maintain appropriate security, protection, and backup of content, which may include the use of encryption technology to protect your content from unauthorized access. You maintain full control and responsibility for establishing and verifying configuration of access to your data and AWS accounts, as well as periodic review of access to data and resources. Using AWS Identity and Access Management (IAM), a web service that allows you to securely control access to AWS resources, you must control who can access and use your data and AWS resources (authentication) and what data and resources they can use and in what ways (authorization). IAM is a feature of all AWS accounts offered at no additional charge. You will be charged only for use of other AWS services by your users, https://aws.amazon.com/iam/. IAM Best Practices can

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
	HR system. In addition, when an employee or contractor's access expires in accordance with the approved request duration, his or her access is revoked, even if he or she continues to be an employee of Amazon. AWS restricts logical user access privileges to the internal Amazon network based on business need and job responsibilities. AWS employs the concept of least privilege, allowing only the necessary access for users to accomplish their job function. New users are created to have minimal access. User access to AWS systems requires approval from the authorized personnel, and validation of the active user. Access privileges to AWS systems are reviewed on a regular basis. When an employee no longer requires these privileges, his or her access is revoked. Refer to the AWS SOC 2 Report C1.2, C1.3, and CC6.1-6.6 to verify the AWS physical and logical security controls.	be found here: http://docs.aws.amazon.com/IAM/latest/UserGuide/best-practices.html. Maintaining physical access to your facilities and assets is solely your responsibility.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(e) Use of secure, computer-generated, time-stamped audit trails to independently record the date and time of operator entries and actions that create, modify, or delete electronic records. Record changes shall not obscure previously recorded information. Such audit trail documentation shall be retained for a period at least as long as that required for the subject electronic records and shall be available for agency review and copying.	AWS maintains centralized repositories that provide core log archival functionality available for internal use by AWS service teams. Leveraging S3 for high scalability, durability, and availability, it allows service teams to collect, archive, and view service logs in a central log service. Production hosts at AWS are equipped with logging for security purposes. This service logs all human actions on hosts, including logons, failed logon attempts, and logoffs. These logs are stored and accessible by AWS security teams for root cause analysis in the event of a suspected security incident. Logs for a given host are also available to the team that owns that host. A frontend log analysis tool is available to service teams to search their logs for operational and security analysis. Processes are implemented to protect logs and audit tools from unauthorized access, modification, and deletion.	Verification and implementation of audit trails, as well as back up and retention procedures of your electronic records are your responsibility. AWS provides you with the ability to properly configure and use the Service Offerings in order to maintain appropriate audit trail and logging of data access, use and modification (including prohibiting disablement of audit trail functionality). Logs within your control (described below) can be used for monitoring and detection of unauthorized changes to your data. Using Service Offerings such as AWS CloudTrail, AWS CloudWatch Logs, and VPC Flow Logs, you can monitor your AWS data operations in the cloud by getting a history of AWS API calls for your account, including API calls made via the AWS Management Console, the AWS SDKs, the command line tools, and higher-level AWS services. You can also identify

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
	Refer to the AWS SOC 2 Report CC5.1, CC7.1	which users and accounts called AWS APIs for services that support AWS CloudTrail, the source IP address the calls were made from, and when the calls occurred. You can integrate AWS CloudTrail into applications using the API, automate trail creation for your organization, check the status of your trails, and control how administrators turn logging services on and off. AWS CloudTrail records two types of events: (1) Management Events: Represent standard API activity for AWS services. For example, AWS CloudTrail delivers management events for API calls such as launching EC2 instances or creating S3 buckets. (2) Data Events: Represent S3 object-level API activity, such as Get, Put, Delete and List actions. https://aws.amazon.com/cloudtrail/ https://aws.amazon.com/documentation/cloudtrail/ http://docs.aws.amazon.com/AmazonVPC/

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
		latest/UserGuide/flow-logs.html
(f) Use of operational system checks to enforce permitted sequencing of steps and events, as appropriate.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s system.	You are responsible for configuring, establishing and verifying enforcement of permitted sequencing of steps and events within the regulated environment.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(g) Use of authority checks to ensure that only authorized individuals can use the system, electronically sign a record, access the operation or computer system input or output device, alter a record, or perform the operation at hand.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s system.	AWS provides you with the ability to configure and use the AWS service offerings in order to maintain appropriate security, protection, and backup of content, which may include the use of encryption technology to protect your content from unauthorized access. You maintain full control and responsibility for establishing and verifying configuration of access to your data and AWS accounts, as well as periodic review of access to data and resources . Using AWS Identity and Access Management (IAM), a web service that allows you to securely control access to AWS resources, you must control who can access and use your data and AWS resources (authentication) and what data and resources they can use and in what ways (authorization). IAM is a feature of all AWS accounts offered at no additional charge. You will be charged only for use of other AWS services by your users, https://aws.amazon.com/iam/. IAM Best Practices can

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
		be found here: http://docs.aws.amazon.com/IAM/latest/UserGuide/best-practices.html.
(h) Use of device (e.g., terminal) checks to determine, as appropriate, the validity of the source of data input or operational instruction.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s system.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying the source of the data input into your system is valid, whether manually, or, for example, by enforcing only certain input devices or sources are utilized.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(i) Determination that persons who develop, maintain, or use electronic record/electronic signature systems have the education, training, and experience to perform their assigned tasks.	AWS has implemented formal, documented training policies and procedures that address purpose, scope, roles, responsibilities, and management commitment. AWS maintains and provides security awareness training to all information system users on an annual basis. The policy is disseminated through the internal Amazon communication portal to all employees. Relevant SOC2 Common Criteria: CC1.3, CC1.4, CC2.2, CC2.3	You are responsible for ensuring your AWS users—including IT staff, developers, validation specialists, and IT auditors—review the AWS product documentation and complete the product training programs you have determined are appropriate for your personnel. AWS products are extensively documented online, https://aws.amazon.com/documentation/, and a wide range of user training and certification resources are available including introductory labs, videos, self-paced online courses, instructor lead training and AWS Certification https://aws.amazon.com/training/. Adequacy of training programs for your personnel, as well as maintenance of documentation of personnel training and qualifications (such as training record, job description and resumes) are your responsibility.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(j) The establishment of, and adherence to, written policies that hold individuals accountable and responsible for actions initiated under their electronic signatures, in order to deter record and signature falsification.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s system.	Establishment and enforcement of policies to hold personnel accountable and responsible for actions initiated under their electronic signatures is your responsibility, including training and associated documentation.
(k) Use of appropriate controls over systems documentation including:		
(1) Adequate controls over the distribution of, access to, and use of documentation for system operation and maintenance.	AWS maintains formal, documented policies and procedures that provide guidance for operations and information security within the organization and the supporting AWS environments. Policies are maintained in a centralized location that is only accessible by employees. Security policies are reviewed and approved on an annual basis by Security Leadership, and are assessed by third-party auditors as part of our audits. Refer to SOC2 Common Criteria CC2.2, CC2.3, CC5.3	You are responsible to establish and maintain your own controls over the distribution, access and use of documentation and documentation systems for system operation and maintenance.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(2) Revision and change control procedures to maintain an audit trail that documents time-sequenced development and modification of systems documentation.	AWS policies and procedures go through processes for approval, version control, and distribution by the appropriate personnel and/or members of management. These documents are reviewed periodically and, when necessary, supporting data is evaluated to ensure the document fulfills its intended use. Revisions are reviewed and approved by the team that owns the document, unless otherwise specified. Invalid or obsolete documents are identified and removed from use. Internal policies are reviewed and approved by AWS leadership at least annually, or following a significant change to the AWS environment. Where applicable, AWS Security leverages the information system framework and policies established and maintained by Amazon Corporate Information Security. AWS service documentation is maintained in a publicly accessible online location so that the most current version is available by default.	You are responsible for changes to your computerized systems running within your AWS accounts. System components must be authorized, designed, developed, configured, documented, tested, approved, and implemented according to your security and availability commitments and system requirements. Using Service Offerings such as AWS Config, you can manage and record your AWS resource inventory, configuration history, and configuration change notifications to enable security and governance. AWS Config Rules also enables you to create rules that automatically check the configuration of AWS resources recorded by AWS Config, https://aws.amazon.com/documentation/config/ Change records and associated logs within your environment may be retained according to your record retention schedule.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
	https://aws.amazon.com/documentation/ Refer to the AWS SOC 2 Report CC2.3, CC3.4, CC6.7, CC8.1	You are responsible for storing, managing and tracking electronic documents in your AWS account and as part of your overall quality management system, including maintaining an audit trail that documents time-sequenced development and modification of systems documentation.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
§11.30 Controls for open systems. Persons who use open systems to create, modify, maintain, or transmit electronic records shall employ procedures and controls designed to ensure the authenticity, integrity, and, as appropriate, the confidentiality of electronic records from the point of their creation to the point of their receipt. Such procedures and controls shall include those identified in §11.10, as appropriate, and additional measures such as document encryption and use of appropriate digital signature standards to ensure, as necessary under the circumstances, record authenticity, integrity, and confidentiality.	Industry standard controls and procedures are in place to protect and maintain the authenticity, integrity and confidentiality of customer data. Refer to the AWS SOC 2 Report C1.1-C1.2	You are responsible for determining whether your use of AWS services within your environment meets the definition of an open or closed system and whether these requirements apply. Refer to the responsibilities in §11.10 above for more information for recommended procedures and controls. Additional measures such as document encryption and use of appropriate digital signature standards are your responsibility to maintain data integrity, authenticity and confidentiality.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
§11.50 Signature manifestations. (a) Signed electronic records shall contain information associated with the signing that clearly indicates all of the following: (1) The printed name of the signer; (2) The date and time when the signature was executed; and (3) The meaning (such as review, approval, responsibility, or authorship) associated with the signature. (b) The items identified in paragraphs (a)(1), (a)(2), and (a)(3) of this section shall be subject to the same controls as for electronic records and shall be included as part of any human readable form of the electronic record (such as electronic display or printout).	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications meet the signed electronic records requirements identified.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
§11.70 Signature/ record linking. Electronic signatures and handwritten signatures executed to electronic records shall be linked to their respective electronic records to ensure that the signatures cannot be excised, copied, or otherwise transferred to falsify an electronic record by ordinary means.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the signature/record linking requirements identified, including any required policies and procedures.
Subpart C—Electronic Signatures §11.100 General requirements. (a) Each electronic signature shall be unique to one individual and shall not be reused by, or reassigned to, anyone else.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the general electronic signature requirements identified, including any required policies and procedures to enforce electronic signature governance.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(b) Before an organization establishes, assigns, certifies, or otherwise sanctions an individual's electronic signature, or any element of such electronic signature, the organization shall verify the identity of the individual.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer's applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the general electronic signature requirements identified, including any required policies and procedures to verify individual identity prior to use of an electronic signature.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(c) Persons using electronic signatures shall, prior to or at the time of such use, certify to the agency that the electronic signatures in their system, used on or after August 20, 1997, are intended to be the legally binding equivalent of traditional handwritten signatures. (1) The certification shall be submitted in paper form and signed with a traditional handwritten signature, to the Office of Regional Operations (HFC-100), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857. (2) Persons using electronic signatures shall, upon agency request, provide additional certification or testimony that a specific electronic signature is the legally binding equivalent of the signer's handwritten signature.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer's applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the general electronic signature requirements identified, including determining whether any required notification to the agency is required, and documenting accordingly.
§11.200 Electronic signature components and controls.		

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(a) Electronic signatures that are not based upon biometrics shall: (1) Employ at least two distinct identification components such as an identification code and password. (i) When an individual executes a series of signings during a single, continuous period of controlled system access, the first signing shall be executed using all electronic signature components; subsequent signings shall be executed using at least one electronic signature component that is only executable by, and designed to be used only by, the individual. (ii) When an individual executes one or more signings not performed during a single, continuous period of controlled system access, each signing shall be executed using all of the electronic signature components.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/ systems meet the electronic signature components and controls identified, including establishing the procedures for use of identifying components, and use by genuine owners.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(2) Be used only by their genuine owners; and (3) Be administered and executed to ensure that attempted use of an individual's electronic signature by anyone other than its genuine owner requires collaboration of two or more individuals.		
(b) Electronic signatures based upon biometrics shall be designed to ensure that they cannot be used by anyone other than their genuine owners.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer's applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the electronic signature components and controls identified, including establishing the procedures for use by genuine owners.
§11.300 Controls for identification codes/passwords. Persons who use electronic signatures based upon use of identification codes in combination with passwords shall employ controls to ensure their security and integrity. Such controls shall include:		

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(a) Maintaining the uniqueness of each combined identification code and password, such that no two individuals have the same combination of identification code and password.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the electronic signature controls identified, including establishing the procedures and controls for uniqueness of password and ID code combinations.
(b) Ensuring that identification code and password issuances are periodically checked, recalled, or revised (e.g., to cover such events as password aging).	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the electronic signature controls identified, including establishing the procedures and controls for periodic review of password issuance.
(c) Following loss management procedures to electronically deauthorize lost, stolen, missing, or otherwise potentially compromised tokens, cards, and other devices that bear or generate identification code or password information, and to issue temporary or permanent replacements using suitable, rigorous controls.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the electronic signature controls identified, including establishing the procedures and controls for loss management of compromised devices that generate ID code or passwords.

21 CFR Subpart	AWS Responsibility	Customer Responsibility
(d) Use of transaction safeguards to prevent unauthorized use of passwords and/or identification codes, and to detect and report in an immediate and urgent manner any attempts at their unauthorized use to the system security unit, and, as appropriate, to organizational management.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the electronic signature controls identified, including establishing the procedures and controls to prevent, detect and report unauthorized use of ID codes and/or passwords.
(e) Initial and periodic testing of devices, such as tokens or cards, that bear or generate identification code or password information to ensure that they function properly and have not been altered in an unauthorized manner.	Not applicable to AWS – this requirement only applies to the customer’s applications.	You are responsible for establishing and verifying that your applications/systems meet the electronic signature controls identified, including establishing the procedures and controls to periodically test devices that generate ID codes or passwords for proper functionality.

[1] In computing, JSON (JavaScript Object Notation) is the open-standard syntax used for CloudFormation templates, <https://aws.amazon.com/documentation/cloudformation/>.

[2] <https://www.continuousvalidation.com/>

Notices

Customers are responsible for making their own independent assessment of the information in this document. This document: (a) is for informational purposes only, (b) represents current AWS product offerings and practices, which are subject to change without notice, and (c) does not create any commitments or assurances from AWS and its affiliates, suppliers or licensors. AWS products or services are provided “as is” without warranties, representations, or conditions of any kind, whether express or implied. The responsibilities and liabilities of AWS to its customers are controlled by AWS agreements, and this document is not part of, nor does it modify, any agreement between AWS and its customers.

© 2021 Amazon Web Services, Inc. or its affiliates. All rights reserved.